

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE BILL ANALYSIS

AMENDMENT DATE: July 1, 2011
POSITION: Neutral

BILL NUMBER: AB 1184
AUTHOR: M. Gatto

BILL SUMMARY: Public Employees' Retirement Benefits

This bill would require the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) to develop guidelines and implement program changes to ensure that a contracting agency that creates a significant increase in actuarial liability, due to increased compensation paid to a non-represented employee, shall bear the associated liability.

FISCAL SUMMARY

This bill would have minimal to no fiscal impact on the state.

According to CalPERS, this bill would create minimal operating costs.

CalPERS states that in the aggregate shifting liability among CalPERS contracting agencies does not change benefit costs for CalPERS employers. However, this bill would place the increased liability created by large compensation increases on the employer responsible for such increases.

COMMENTS

The Department of Finance is neutral on this bill because there is minimal to no fiscal impact on the state.

The Governor is currently developing pension reform proposals which include a pension cap.

This bill would:

- Provide that the obligations for retirement benefits that are attributable to excess compensation earned by a non-represented employee who was employed by one or more CalPERS contracting agencies shall be the sole obligation of the contracting agency that paid the excess compensation.
Require the system actuary of CalPERS to assess the increase in liability to the employer that created it and adjust the employer's rates as needed to comply with the requirements.
Require CalPERS to develop guidelines and implement program changes to ensure that a contracting agency that creates a significant increase in the actuarial liability for another contracting agency or agencies due to increased compensation it has paid to a non-represented employee will bear the associated liability, regardless of when the increase in compensation occurred.
Require that the CalPERS Board of Administration report to the Legislature by June 30, 2012 on the implementation of the guidelines developed and the assessment of the implementation and effectiveness of the guidelines.

Analyst/Principal Date Program Budget Manager Date
(0931) K. Martone Diana Ducay

Department Deputy Director Date

Governor's Office: By: Date: Position Approved
Position Disapproved

BILL ANALYSIS Form DF-43 (Rev 03/95 Buff)

M. Gatto

July 1, 2011

AB 1184

COMMENTS (Continued)

- Prohibit CalPERS from administering an IRC Section 415 RBP for a person who first becomes a member of CalPERS on or after January 1, 2013.

The IRC Section 415 places a dollar limit on the annual benefit that can be received from a tax-qualified pension plan, such as CalPERS. The maximum annual retirement benefit payable is \$195,000 for calendar year 2011. Those members that receive retirement benefits in excess of the maximum federal limit are issued quarterly payments called "replacement benefits" from a separate fund through the CalPERS RBP funded by the employer. The RBP would no longer be an option for CalPERS members hired on or after January 1, 2013. According to CalPERS, there are five members participating in the RBP, of which all were high-level administrators of local contracting agencies.

According to the author, this bill would save the taxpayers of well-run cities from having to pay the pension costs associated with exorbitant salaries in other cities. Additionally this bill would prohibit California's public employee retirement systems from participating in any program offering pension benefits in excess of the federal cap (\$195,000 for 2011).

The author also states that this bill is in response to media reports that the City of Bell, a CalPERS contracting agency, paid its top executives what was characterized as excessive compensation. In one instance, the City of Bell hired a former police chief from the City of Glendale, another CalPERS contracting agency, and approximately doubled his compensation. Currently, the City of Glendale would be affected by the increased compensation given by the City of Bell through increases in their pension liabilities.

Code/Department Agency or Revenue Type	SO	(Fiscal Impact by Fiscal Year)							Fund Code	
	LA	(Dollars in Thousands)								
	CO	PROP	2011-2012		2012-2013		2013-2014			
	RV	98	FC	FC	FC	FC				
1900/PERS	SO	No	----- See Fiscal Summary -----							0830
<u>Fund Code</u>	<u>Title</u>									
0830	Public Employees' Retirement Fund									