



October 10, 2012

Mr. Aaron Busch, Community Development Director  
City of Yuba City  
1201 Civic Center Boulevard  
Yuba City, CA 95993

Dear Mr. Busch:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Yuba City Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS III) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on August 27, 2012 for the period of January through June 2013. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS III, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

HSC section 34171 (d) defines enforceable obligations. Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, the following do not qualify as enforceable obligations:

- Item No. 4 – City Reimbursement Agreement in the amount of \$9.5 million reserves. HSC section 34171 (d) (2) states that agreements, contracts, or arrangements between the city that created the redevelopment agency (RDA) and the former RDA are not enforceable unless they are for the purpose of repaying indebtedness obligations issued on or before December 31, 2012. Because this agreement is not for the repayment of indebtedness obligations, it is not an enforceable obligation.
- Item No. 7 – City loan in the amount of \$22.9 million funding source unidentified. HSC section 34171 (d) (2) states that agreements, contracts, or arrangements between the city that created RDA and the former RDA are not enforceable. To the extent a finding of completion is issued by Finance and the oversight board makes a finding that the loan was for legitimate redevelopment purposes pursuant to HSC section 34191.4 (b), this item may become enforceable.
- Item No. 14 – Public Works Agreement in the amount of \$300,000 reserves. The agreement for the excavation of contaminated soil is between the City of Yuba City and Delta Oilfield Services. As the former RDA is neither a party to the contract nor responsible for payment of the contract, this item is not an enforceable obligation.

Except for items denied in whole or in part as enforceable obligations as noted above, Finance is approving the remaining items listed in your ROPS III. If you disagree with the determination with respect to any items on your ROPS III, you may request a Meet and Confer within five

business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

[http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet\\_and\\_confer/](http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/)

The Agency's maximum approved Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) distribution for the reporting period is \$1,546,995 as summarized below:

<b>Approved RPTTF Distribution Amount For the period of January through June 2013</b>	
Total RPTTF funding requested for obligations	\$ 1,453,495
Less: Six-month total for item(s) denied or reclassified as administrative cost	0
Total approved RPTTF for enforceable obligations	\$ 1,453,495
Plus: Allowable RPTTF distribution for administrative cost for ROPS III	93,500
<b>Total RPTTF approved:</b>	<b>\$ 1,546,995</b>

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS III form the estimated obligations and actual payments associated with the January through June 2012 period. The amount of RPTTF approved in the above table will be adjusted by the county auditor-controller to account for differences between actual payments and past estimated obligations. Additionally, these estimates and accounts are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller and the State Controller.

Please refer to the ROPS III schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

[http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS III Forms by Successor Agency/](http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS%20III%20Forms%20by%20Successor%20Agency/).

All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review. An item included on a future ROPS may be denied even if it was not questioned from the preceding ROPS.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Nichelle Thomas, Supervisor or Susana Medina-Jackson, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



STEVE SZALAY  
Local Government Consultant

cc: Ms. Robin Bertagna, Finance Director, City of Yuba City  
Mr. John Beaver, Tax Manager, Sutter County