



October 11, 2012

Mr. Gordon Elton, Finance Director
City of Ukiah
300 Seminary Avenue
Ukiah, CA 95482

Dear Mr. Elton:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Ukiah (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS III) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on August 30, 2012 for the period of January through June 2013. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS III, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

HSC section 34171 (d) defines enforceable obligations. Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, the following do not qualify as enforceable obligations:

- Item No. 1, 10, 11, 12 and 14 – Although enforceable, these types of services requested totaling \$69,000 are considered general administrative expenses and have been reclassified.
- Item No. 13 and 16 – Contracts between the City and the Agency totaling \$6.2 million. HSC section 34171 (d) (2) states that agreements, contracts, or arrangements between the city that created the RDA and the former RDA are not enforceable. Therefore, these line items are not enforceable obligations and not eligible for funding.
- Item No. 15 and 18 – Infrastructure improvement projects totaling \$2.5 million. It is our understanding that contracts are not in place for these line items. HSC section 34163 (b) prohibits a redevelopment agency from entering into a contract with any entity after June 27, 2011. Therefore, these line items are not enforceable obligations and not eligible for bond funding on this ROPS. To the extent that bond proceeds are the anticipated funding source for these projects, upon receiving a Finding of Completion from Finance, the item may become enforceable pursuant to HSC section 34191.4 (c). Until then they are not enforceable obligations and not authorized for payment.

Except for items denied in whole or in part as enforceable obligations as noted above, Finance is approving the remaining items listed in your ROPS III. If you disagree with the determination with respect to any items on your ROPS III, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/

The Agency's maximum approved Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) distribution for the reporting period is: \$1,350,439 as summarized below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution Amount	
For the period of January through June 2013	
Total RPTTF funding requested for obligations	\$ 1,343,039
Less: Six-month total for items denied or reclassified as administrative cost	
Item 1*	15,000
Item 10*	15,000
Item 11*	12,000
Item 12*	9,000
Item 13	117,600
Item 14*	18,000
Total approved RPTTF for enforceable obligations	<u>\$ 1,156,439</u>
Plus: Allowable RPTTF distribution for administrative cost for ROPS III	<u>194,000</u>
Total RPTTF approved:	\$ 1,350,439

* Reclassified as administrative cost

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS III form the estimated obligations and actual payments associated with the January through June 2012 period. The amount of RPTTF approved in the above table will be adjusted by the county auditor-controller to account for differences between actual payments and past estimated obligations. Additionally, these estimates and accounts are subject to audit by the county-auditor controller and the State Controller.

Please refer to the ROPS III schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

<http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS III Forms by Successor Agency/>.

All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review. An item included on a future ROPS may be denied even if it was not questioned from the preceding ROPS.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

Mr. Elton
October 11, 2012
Page 3

Please direct inquiries to Kylie Le, Supervisor or Brian Dunham, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



STEVE SZALAY
Local Government Consultant

cc: Ms. Sage Sangiacomo, Assistant City Manager, City of Ukiah
Ms. Meredith J. Ford, Auditor-Controller, Mendocino County