



October 11, 2012

Mr. Rocio Mosqueda, Finance Director  
City of McFarland  
401 West Kern Avenue  
McFarland, CA 93250

Dear Mr. Mosqueda:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of McFarland Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS III) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on August 30, 2012 for the period of January through June 2013. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS III, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

The Agency submitted a ROPS III requesting funding for administrative expense. Based on our review, the Agency's maximum approved Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) distribution for the reporting period is \$659 as summarized below:

<b>Approved RPTTF Distribution Amount</b>	
<b>For the period of January through June 2013</b>	
Total RPTTF funding requested for obligations	0
Less: Six-month total for item(s) denied or reclassified as administrative cost.	0
Total approved RPTTF for enforceable obligations	0
Plus: Allowable RPTTF distribution for administrative cost for ROPS III	659
<b>Total RPTTF approved: \$</b>	<b>659</b>

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS III form the estimated obligations and actual payments associated with the January through June 2012 period. The amount of RPTTF approved in the above table will be adjusted by the county auditor-controller to account for differences between actual payments and past estimated obligations. Additionally, these estimates and accounts are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller and the State Controller.

Please refer to the ROPS III schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

[http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS III Forms by Successor Agency/](http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS%20III%20Forms%20by%20Successor%20Agency/).

All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review. An item included on a future ROPS may be denied even if it was not questioned from the preceding ROPS.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Kylie Le, Supervisor or Brian Dunham, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



STEVE SZALAY  
Local Government Consultant

Cc: Mr. Dennis McNamara, Planning Director, City of McFarland  
Ms. Ann Barnett, Auditor-Controller, Kern County