



March 22, 2016

Mr. Ken Duran, Assistant City Manager
City of San Dimas
245 East Bonita Avenue
San Dimas, CA 91773

Dear Mr. Duran:

Subject: 2016-17 Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (o) (1), the City of San Dimas Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017 (ROPS 16-17) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on January 27, 2016. Finance has completed its review of the ROPS 16-17.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determination:

Item No. 20 – Property disposition cost in the amount of \$20,000 is not allowed. It is our understanding the cost pertains to consulting fees to prepare a Request for Qualifications to identify a potential developer/development group to develop a hotel for property located at 344 West Bonita Avenue. The property was approved for sale on the Agency's Long-Range Property Management Plan. While disposition costs such as marketing or retaining a real estate agent to list the property for sale are eligible for funding, the type of service requested is not a typical cost incurred for a sale of property. Furthermore, the Kosmont and Associates, Inc. proposal states the City of San Dimas is the current owner of the property; as such this cost should not be an obligation of the Agency. Therefore, this item is not an enforceable obligation and not eligible for Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) funding.

Except for the item denied in whole or in part, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 16-17. If you disagree with Finance's determination with respect to any items on your ROPS 16-17, except for those items which are the subject of litigation disputing Finance's previous or related determinations, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/

On the ROPS 16-17 form, the Agency reported cash balances and activity for the period of July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016. Finance performs a review of the Agency's self-reported cash balances on an ongoing basis. Be prepared to submit financial records and bridging

documents to support the cash balances reported upon request. If it is determined the Agency possesses cash balances that are available to pay approved enforceable obligations, HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E) requires these balances to be used prior to requesting RPTTF.

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$1,945,444 as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table on page 3 (See Attachment).

ROPS distributions will occur twice annually, one distribution for the ROPS A period, and one distribution for the January 1, 2017 through June 30, 2017 (ROPS B period) based on Finance's approved amounts. Since Finance's determination is for the entire ROPS 16-17 period, the Agency is authorized to receive up to the maximum approved RPTTF through the combined ROPS A and B period distributions.

On the ROPS 16-17 form, the Agency was not required to report the estimated obligations versus actual payments (prior period adjustment) associated with the July 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015 period (ROPS 15-16A). The Agency will report actual payments for ROPS 15-16A and ROPS 15-16B on the ROPS 18-19 form pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a) (1). A prior period adjustment will be applied to the Agency's future RPTTF distribution. Therefore, the Agency should retain any difference in unexpended RPTTF.

Please refer to the ROPS 16-17 schedule used to calculate the total RPTTF approved for distribution:

<http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS>

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is Finance's determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for the period July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the 12-month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to review and may be denied even if it was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of the redevelopment dissolution statutes. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Kylie Oltmann, Supervisor, or Nicole Prisakar, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



JUSTYN HOWARD
Program Budget Manager

cc: on the following page

Mr. Ken Duran
March 22, 2016
Page 3

cc: Mr. Steven Valdivia, Senior Accounting Technician, City of San Dimas
Ms. Kristina Burns, Manager, Department of Auditor-Controller, Los Angeles County

Attachment

Approved RPTTF Distribution			
For the period of July 2016 through June 2017			
	<u>ROPS A Period</u>	<u>ROPS B Period</u>	<u>Total</u>
Requested RPTTF (excluding administrative obligations)	\$ 1,447,182	\$ 268,262	\$ 1,715,444
Requested Administrative RPTTF	125,000	125,000	250,000
Total RPTTF requested for obligations on ROPS 16-17	1,572,182	393,262	\$ 1,965,444
Total RPTTF Requested	1,447,182	268,262	1,715,444
<u>Denied Item</u>			
Item No. 20	(20,000)	0	(20,000)
Total RPTTF authorized	1,427,182	268,262	\$ 1,695,444
Total Administrative RPTTF authorized	125,000	125,000	\$ 250,000
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	1,552,182	393,262	\$ 1,945,444