

March 15, 2016

Ms. Ruth Davidson-Guerra, Assistant Community Development Director/
Successor Agency Implementing Official
City of Burbank
150 North Third Street
Burbank, CA 91502

Dear Ms. Davidson-Guerra:

Subject: 2016-17 Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (o) (1), the City of Burbank Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017 (ROPS 16-17) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on January 21, 2016. Finance has completed its review of the ROPS 16-17.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determinations:

- Item Nos. 22, 23, 50, and 51 – The Agency requested the incorrect amounts for these City loans. Per discussion with Agency staff, the correct amount should be \$8,669,951. As a result, the July 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016 (ROPS A period) Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) funding requested for enforceable obligations has been increased by \$2,261,156 pursuant to the repayment formula as follows:
 - Item No. 22 – West Olive Loan increased by \$8,609 to \$33,010
 - Item No. 23 – City Centre Loan increased by \$1,718,010 to \$6,587,367
 - Item No. 50 – City Centre Sales Tax Loan increased by \$527,214 to \$2,021,495
 - Item No. 51 – South San Fernando Loan increased by \$7,323 to \$28,079

Except for the items that have been adjusted, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 16-17. If you disagree with Finance's determination with respect to any items on your ROPS 16-17, except for those items which are the subject of litigation disputing Finance's previous or related determinations, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/

On the ROPS 16-17 form, the Agency reported cash balances and activity for the period of July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016. Finance performs a review of the Agency's self-reported

cash balances on an ongoing basis. Be prepared to submit financial records and bridging documents to support the cash balances reported upon request. If it is determined the Agency possesses cash balances that are available to pay approved enforceable obligations, HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E) requires these balances to be used prior to requesting RPTTF.

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$19,382,616 as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table on page 3 (See Attachment).

ROPS distributions will occur twice annually, one distribution for the ROPS A period, and one distribution for the January 1, 2017 through June 30, 2017 (ROPS B period) based on Finance's approved amounts. Since Finance's determination is for the entire ROPS 16-17 period, the Agency is authorized to receive up to the maximum approved RPTTF through the combined ROPS A and B period distributions.

On the ROPS 16-17 form, the Agency was not required to report the estimated obligations versus actual payments (prior period adjustment) associated with the July 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015 period (ROPS 15-16A). The Agency will report actual payments for ROPS 15-16A and ROPS 15-16B on the ROPS 18-19 form pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a) (1). A prior period adjustment will be applied to the Agency's future RPTTF distribution. Therefore, the Agency should retain any difference in unexpended RPTTF.

Please refer to the ROPS 16-17 schedule used to calculate the total RPTTF approved for distribution:

<http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS>

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is Finance's determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for the period July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the 12-month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to review and may be denied even if it was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of the redevelopment dissolution statutes. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Ms. Ruth Davidson-Guerra
March 15, 2016
Page 3

Please direct inquiries to Kylie Oltmann, Supervisor, or Nicole Prisakar, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Justyn Howard', with a large loop at the beginning and a flourish at the end.

JUSTYN HOWARD
Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Lusine Arutyunyan, Administrative Analyst, City of Burbank
Ms. Kristina Burns, Manager, Department of Auditor-Controller, Los Angeles County

Attachment

Approved RPTTF Distribution			
For the period of July 2016 through June 2017			
	ROPS A Period	ROPS B Period	Total
Requested RPTTF (excluding administrative obligations)	\$ 14,896,037	\$ 1,944,830	\$ 16,840,867
Requested Administrative RPTTF	180,000	100,593	280,593
Total RPTTF requested for obligations on ROPS 16-17	15,076,037	2,045,423	\$ 17,121,460
Adjustment to Agency Requested RPTTF	2,261,156	0	2,261,156
Adjustment to Agency Requested Administrative RPTTF	0	0	0
Total RPTTF adjustments	2,261,156	0	\$ 2,261,156
Total RPTTF authorized	17,157,193	1,944,830	19,102,023
Total Administrative RPTTF authorized	180,000	100,593	280,593
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	17,337,193	2,045,423	\$ 19,382,616