



March 14, 2016

Mr. Nathan Hamburger, Assistant Executive Director
City of Agoura Hills
30001 Ladyface Court
Agoura Hills, CA 91301

Dear Mr. Hamburger:

Subject: 2016-17 Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (o) (1), the City of Agoura Hills Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017 (ROPS 16-17) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on January 28, 2016. Finance has completed its review of the ROPS 16-17.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determinations:

- Item No. 7 – The total outstanding balance for the City Loan is overstated. Pursuant to HSC section 34191.4 (b) (3), interest on the remaining principal amount of the loan that was previously unpaid after the original effective date of the loan shall be recalculated from the date of origination of the loan on a quarterly basis, at a simple interest rate of three percent and repayments shall be applied first to principal, and second to interest.

Finance has recalculated the total outstanding loan balance to be approximately \$26,215,000, and therefore has reduced the outstanding loan balance reported on the Agency's ROPS Detail Form by approximately \$2,480,000. Since the amount requested for ROPS 15-16A does not exceed the repayment formula outlined in HSC section 34191.4 (b) (2) (A), Finance is approving the amount requested.

- The administrative costs claimed are within the fiscal year administrative cap pursuant to HSC section 34171 (b). However, Finance notes the oversight board has approved an amount that appears excessive, given the number and nature of the obligations listed on the ROPS. HSC section 34179 (i) requires the oversight board to exercise a fiduciary duty to the taxing entities. Therefore, Finance encourages the oversight board to apply adequate oversight when evaluating the administrative resources required to successfully wind-down the Agency.
- On the ROPS 16-17 form, the Agency reported cash balances and activity for the period of July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016. Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E), agencies are required to use all available funding sources prior to Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) for payment of enforceable obligations. During our review, which may have included obtaining financial records, Finance determined the

Agency possesses funds that should be used prior to requesting RPTTF. Therefore, with the Agency's concurrence, the funding source for the following item has been reclassified to Other Funds and in the amount specified below:

- Item No. 3 – Trustee fees in the amount of \$5,000. The Agency requests \$5,000 of RPTTF for the July 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016 (ROPS A) period; however, Finance is reclassifying \$250 to Other Funds. This item is an enforceable obligation; however, the obligation does not require payment from property tax revenues. Therefore, Finance is approving RPTTF in the amount of \$4,750 and the use of Other Funds in the amount of \$250, totaling \$5,000.

Except for the item that has been adjusted, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 16-17. If you disagree with Finance's determination with respect to any items on your ROPS 16-17, except for those items which are the subject of litigation disputing Finance's previous or related determinations, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$1,212,286 as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table on page 4 (See Attachment).

ROPS distributions will occur twice annually, one distribution for the ROPS A period, and one distribution for the January 1, 2017 through June 30, 2017 (ROPS B period) based on Finance's approved amounts. Since Finance's determination is for the entire ROPS 16-17 period, the Agency is authorized to receive up to the maximum approved RPTTF through the combined ROPS A and B period distributions.

On the ROPS 16-17 form, the Agency was not required to report the estimated obligations versus actual payments (prior period adjustment) associated with the July 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015 period (ROPS 15-16A). The Agency will report actual payments for ROPS 15-16A and ROPS 15-16B on the ROPS 18-19 form pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a) (1). A prior period adjustment will be applied to the Agency's future RPTTF distribution. Therefore, the Agency should retain any difference in unexpended RPTTF.

Please refer to the ROPS 16-17 schedule used to calculate the total RPTTF approved for distribution:

<http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS>


Absent a Meet and Confer, this is Finance's determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for the period July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the 12-month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to review and may be denied even if it was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

Mr. Nathan Hamburger
March 14, 2016
Page 3

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of the redevelopment dissolution statutes. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Kylie Oltmann, Supervisor, or Zuber Tejani, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



JUSTYN HOWARD
Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Christy Pinuelas, Director of Finance, City of Agoura Hills
Ms. Kristina Burns, Manager, Department of Auditor-Controller, Los Angeles County

Attachment

Approved RPTTF Distribution			
For the period of July 2016 through June 2017			
	ROPS A Period	ROPS B Period	Total
Requested RPTTF (excluding administrative obligations)	\$ 401,656	\$ 560,880	\$ 962,536
Requested Administrative RPTTF	125,000	125,000	250,000
Total RPTTF requested for obligations on ROPS	526,656	685,880	\$ 1,212,536
Total RPTTF Requested	401,656	560,880	962,536
<u>Reclassified Item</u>			
Item No. 3	(250)	0	(250)
	(250)	0	(250)
Total RPTTF authorized	401,406	560,880	\$ 962,286
Total Administrative RPTTF authorized	125,000	125,000	\$ 250,000
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	526,406	685,880	\$ 1,212,286