DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

EDMUND G. BROWN JR. • GOVERNOR
915 L STREET ■ SACRAMENTO CA ■ 95814-3706 ■ WWW.DOF.CA.GOV

November 10, 2015

Mr. Lorenzo Hines Jr, Assistant City Manager
City of Pacifica
170 Santa Maria Avenue
Pacifica, CA 94044

Dear Mr. Hines Jr:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m) (1) (A), the City of Pacifica Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period January 1 through June 30, 2016 (ROPS 15-16B) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on October 1, 2015. Finance has completed its review of the ROPS 15-16B.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determination:

> Item No. 18 – Correct prior period distribution funds returned to county auditor-controller (CAC) in error in the amount of $19,350 is not an enforceable obligation at this time. No documentation was provided to support the amount claimed. To the extent the Agency can provide suitable documentation, such as accounting records showing the return of funds to the CAC in November and December 2011, the Agency may be able to obtain Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) funding on a future ROPS.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a) (1), the Agency was required to report on the ROPS 15-16B form the estimated obligations versus actual payments (prior period adjustment) associated with the January through June 2015 period (ROPS 14-15B). HSC section 34186 (a) (1) also specifies the prior period adjustment self-reported by the Agency is subject to review by the CAC. The amount of RPTTF approved in the table on the next page includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the CAC's review of the Agency's self-reported prior period adjustment.

Except for the item denied in whole, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 15-16B. If you disagree with Finance's determination with respect to any items on your ROPS 15-16B, except for those items which are the subject of litigation disputing Finance's previous or related determinations, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is $96,976 as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution For the period of January through June 2016		
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations		92,092
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations		44,350
Total RPTTF requested for obligations on ROPS 15-16B	$	**136,442**
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations		**92,092**
Total RPTTF authorized for non-administrative obligations	$	**92,092**
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations		**44,350**
Denied Item		
Item No. 18		(19,350)
Total RPTTF authorized for administrative obligations	$	**25,000**
Total RPTTF authorized for obligations	$	**117,092**
ROPS 14-15B prior period adjustment		(20,116)
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	$	**96,976**

On the ROPS 15-16B form, the Agency reported cash balances and activity for the period January 1 through December 31, 2015. Finance will perform a review of the Agency's self-reported cash balances on an ongoing basis. Please be prepared to submit financial records and bridging documents to support the cash balances reported upon request. If it is determined the Agency possesses cash balances that are available to pay approved obligations, HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E) requires these balances be used prior to requesting RPTTF.

Please refer to the ROPS 15-16B schedule used to calculate the total RPTTF approved for distribution:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for January 1 through June 30, 2016. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the six-month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to review and may be denied even if it was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of the redevelopment dissolution statutes. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Wendy Griffe, Supervisor, or Erika Santiago, Lead Analyst, at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,

JUSTYN HOWARD
Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Cindy Mosser, Finance Manager, City of Pacifica
Mr. Juan Raigoza, Auditor-Controller, San Mateo County