DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

EDMUND G. BROWN JR. • GOVERNOR

915 L STREET ■ SACRAMENTO CA ■ 95814-3706 ■ WWW.DOF.CA.GOV

December 17, 2015

Mr. Paul Abelson, Finance Director
City of Oakley
3231 Main Street
Oakley, CA 94561

Dear Mr. Abelson:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

This letter supersedes the California Department of Finance's (Finance) Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) letter dated October 12, 2015. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Oakley Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 15-16B) to Finance on September 3, 2015, for the period of January 1 through June 30, 2016. Finance issued a ROPS determination letter on October 12, 2015. Subsequently, the Agency requested a Meet and Confer session on one or more of the determinations made by Finance. The Meet and Confer session was held on November 10, 2015.

Based on a review of additional information and documentation provided to Finance during the Meet and Confer process, Finance has completed its review of the specific determinations being disputed.

> Item Nos. 6 and 44 – Continuing Disclosure services totaling $82,000 for the Agency's 2008 Tax Allocation Bonds and 2015 Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds. Finance no longer denies these items. During the Meet and Confer, the Agency provided additional information clarifying that the costs are required by the respective bond documents. In addition, the Agency provided documentation to support the estimated six month period request of $2,000 per disclosure. Therefore, these items eligible for Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) funding on this ROPS.

In addition, per Finance's letter dated October 12, 2015, we continue to make the following determinations not contested by the Agency during the Meet and Confer:

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a) (1), the Agency was required to report on the ROPS 15-16B form the estimated obligations versus actual payments (prior period adjustment) associated with the January through June 2015 period (ROPS 14-15B). HSC section 34186 (a) (1) also specifies the prior period adjustment self-reported by the Agency is subject to review by the County Auditor-Controller (CAC). Proposed CAC adjustments were not received in time for inclusion in this letter; therefore, the amount of RPTTF approved in the table below only reflects the Agency's self-reported prior period adjustment.

Except for the items denied in whole or in part, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 15-16B. The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is $866,624 as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution For the period of January through June 2016		
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations		746,127
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations		125,000
Total RPTTF requested for obligations on ROPS 15-16B	**$**	**871,127**
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations		**746,127**
Total RPTTF authorized for non-administrative obligations	**$**	**746,127**
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations		**125,000**
Total RPTTF authorized for administrative obligations	**$**	**125,000**
Total RPTTF authorized for obligations	**$**	**871,127**
ROPS 14-15B prior period adjustment		(4,503)
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	**$**	**866,624**

On the ROPS 15-16B form, the Agency reported cash balances and activity for the period January 1 through December 31, 2015. Finance will perform a review of the Agency's self-reported cash balances on an ongoing basis. Please be prepared to submit financial records and bridging documents to support the cash balances reported upon request. If it is determined the Agency possesses cash balances that are available to pay approved obligations, HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E) requires these balances be used prior to requesting RPTTF.

Please refer to the ROPS 15-16B schedule used to calculate the total RPTTF approved for distribution:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS

This is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for January 1 through June 30, 2016. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the six-month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to review and may be denied even if it was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of the redevelopment dissolution statutes. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Evelyn Suess, Dispute Resolution Supervisor, or Danielle Brandon, at (916) 445-3274.

Sincerely,

JUSTYN HOWARD
Program Budget Manager

cc: Mr. Bryan Montgomery, Executive Director, City of Oakley
Mr. Bob Campbell, Auditor-Controller, Contra Costa County