

November 9, 2015

Mr. David Biggs, City Manager
City of Hercules
111 Civic Drive
Hercules, CA 94547

Dear Mr. Biggs:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m) (1) (A), the City of Hercules Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period January 1 through June 30, 2016 (ROPS 15-16B) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on September 29, 2015. Finance has completed its review of the ROPS 15-16B.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determinations:

- Item No. 6 – AMBAC Settlement in the amount of \$2,859,677 has been reclassified from Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) to Other Funds. Finance continues to reclassify this item. It is our understanding this obligation is secured by two of the Agency's properties identified by Assessor's Parcel Numbers 404-020-076-0 and 404-040-062-6. These properties are attached per the Stipulated Writ of Attachment with the Superior Court of the State of California, County Contra Costa, dated March 12, 2015. Therefore, this item is not eligible for RPTTF funding. As a result, the \$2,859,677 of RPTTF requested has been reclassified to Other Funds.
- Item No. 29 – Review of Redevelopment Agency performed by the State Controller's Office (SCO) in the amount of \$56,197 is not allowed. HSC section 34183 (d) requires the SCO to recover its costs of audit and oversight from the RPTTF by presenting an invoice to the County Auditor-Controller for disbursement of the amount claimed. Therefore, this item is not eligible for inclusion on the ROPS and has been denied.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a) (1), the Agency was required to report on the ROPS 15-16B form the estimated obligations versus actual payments (prior period adjustment) associated with the January through June 2015 period (ROPS 14-15B). HSC section 34186 (a) (1) also specifies the prior period adjustment self-reported by the Agency is subject to review by the county auditor-controller (CAC). Proposed CAC adjustments were not received in time for inclusion in this letter; therefore, the amount of RPTTF approved in the table below only reflects the Agency's self-reported prior period adjustment.

Except for the item denied in whole or in part or the item that has been reclassified, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 15-16B. If you disagree with Finance's determination with respect to any items on your ROPS 15-16B, except for those items which are the subject of litigation disputing Finance's previous or related determinations, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

[http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet and confer/](http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/)

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting is \$14,680,284 as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table below:

| Approved RPTTF Distribution | |
|--|----------------------|
| For the period of January through June 2016 | |
| Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations | 17,463,480 |
| Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations | 132,678 |
| Total RPTTF requested for obligations on ROPS 15-16B | \$ 17,596,158 |
| Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations | 17,463,480 |
| <u>Denied Item</u> | |
| Item No. 29 | (56,197) |
| <u>Reclassified Item</u> | |
| Item No. 6 | (2,859,677) |
| Total RPTTF authorized for non-administrative obligations | \$ 14,547,606 |
| Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations | 132,678 |
| Total RPTTF authorized for administrative obligations | \$ 132,678 |
| Total RPTTF authorized for obligations | \$ 14,680,284 |
| ROPS 14-15B prior period adjustment | 0 |
| Total RPTTF approved for distribution | \$ 14,680,284 |

On the ROPS 15-16B form, the Agency reported cash balances and activity for the period January 1 through December 31, 2015. Finance will perform a review of the Agency's self-reported cash balances on an ongoing basis. Please be prepared to submit financial records and bridging documents to support the cash balances reported upon request. If it is determined the Agency possesses cash balances that are available to pay approved obligations, HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E) requires these balances be used prior to requesting RPTTF.

Please refer to the ROPS 15-16B schedule used to calculate the total RPTTF approved for distribution:

<http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS>

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for January 1 through June 30, 2016. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the six-month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to review and may be denied even if it was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to

HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of the redevelopment dissolution statutes. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Nichelle Thomas, Supervisor, or Michael Barr, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



JUSTYN HOWARD
Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Nickie Mastay, Finance Director, City of Hercules
Mr. Bob Campbell, Auditor-Controller, Contra Costa County