DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

EDMUND G. BROWN JR. • GOVERNOR

915 L STREET ■ SACRAMENTO CA ■ 95814-3706 ■ WWW.DOF.CA.GOV

November 9, 2015

Ms. Wendy Howard, Finance Director
City of Eureka
531 K Street
Eureka, CA 95501

Dear Ms. Howard:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m) (1) (A), the City of Eureka Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period January 1 through June 30, 2016 (ROPS 15-16B) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on September 29, 2015. Finance has completed its review of the ROPS 15-16B.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determinations:

- Item Nos. 49 through 57 – City loan repayments totaling $147,254 are not allowed. HSC section 34191.4 (b) (3) (A) allows repayment to be equal to one-half of the increase between the ROPS residual pass-through distributed to the taxing entities in that fiscal year and the ROPS residual pass-through distributed to the taxing entities in the fiscal year 2012-13 base year.

  According to the County Auditor-Controller's report, the ROPS residual pass-through amount distributed to the taxing entities for fiscal year 2012-13 and 2014-15 are $0 and $1,915,866, respectively. Therefore, pursuant to the repayment formula, the maximum repayment amount authorized for fiscal year 2015-16 is $957,933. The Agency requested and was approved to expend $882,115 during ROPS 15-16A. As such, the Agency has a remaining amount of $75,818 (957,933-882,115) authorized for fiscal year 2015-16. Therefore, of the $223,072 requested, $147,254 of excess loan repayments is not eligible for funding on this ROPS. The Agency has selected Item No. 49 to apply the remaining allowed amount of $75,818. The Agency may be eligible for additional funding beginning ROPS 16-17A.

Furthermore, it is our understanding the Agency re-requested the use of bond proceeds for Item No. 11 during the ROPS 15-16A period. Per discussion with Agency staff, the $292,932 requested for the six month period has been expended and the current total outstanding obligation should be zero. As a result, the funding request has been removed and with Agency concurrence, the item will be retired.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a) (1), the Agency was required to report on the ROPS 15-16B form the estimated obligations versus actual payments (prior period adjustment) associated with the January through June 2015 period (ROPS 14-15B). HSC section 34186 (a) (1) also specifies the prior period adjustment self-reported by the Agency is subject to review by the county auditor-controller (CAC). Proposed CAC adjustments were not received in time for inclusion in this letter; therefore, the amount of Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) approved in the table below only reflects the Agency's self-reported prior period adjustment.

Except for the item's denied in whole or in part, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 15-16B. If you disagree with Finance's determination with respect to any items on your ROPS 15-16B, except for those items which are the subject of litigation disputing Finance's previous or related determinations, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is $1,624,784 as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution For the period of January through June 2016		
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations		1,647,038
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations		125,000
Total RPTTF requested for obligations on ROPS 15-16B	$	**1,772,038**
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations		**1,647,038**
Denied Items:		
Item No. 49		(7,263)
Item No. 50		(41,491)
Item No. 51		(1,033)
Item No. 52		(13,433)
Item No. 53		(2,850)
Item No. 54		(439)
Item No. 55		(1,309)
Item No. 56		(66,300)
Item No. 57		(13,136)
		(147,254)
Total RPTTF authorized for non-administrative obligations	$	**1,499,784**
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations		**125,000**
Total RPTTF authorized for administrative obligations	$	**125,000**
Total RPTTF authorized for obligations	$	**1,624,784**
ROPS 14-15B prior period adjustment		0
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	$	**1,624,784**

On the ROPS 15-16B form, the Agency reported cash balances and activity for the period January 1 through December 31, 2015. Finance will perform a review of the Agency's

self-reported cash balances on an ongoing basis. Please be prepared to submit financial records and bridging documents to support the cash balances reported upon request. If it is determined the Agency possesses cash balances that are available to pay approved obligations, HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E) requires these balances be used prior to requesting RPTTF.

Please refer to the ROPS 15-16B schedule used to calculate the total RPTTF approved for distribution:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for January 1 through June 30, 2016. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the six-month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to review and may be denied even if it was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of the redevelopment dissolution statutes. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Cindie Lor, Supervisor, or Todd Vermillion, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,

JUSTYN HOWARD
Program Budget Manager

cc: Mr. Cyndy Day-Wilson, City Attorney, City of Eureka
Mr. Joe Mellett, Auditor-Controller, Humboldt County