



December 17, 2015

Mr. Rob Burns, Director of Finance
City of Chino
13220 Central Avenue
Chino, CA 91710

Dear Mr. Burns:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

This letter supersedes the California Department of Finance's (Finance) Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) letter dated November 6, 2015. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Chino Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 15-16B) to Finance on September 24, 2015, for the period of January 1 through June 30, 2016. Finance issued a ROPS determination letter on November 6, 2015. Subsequently, the Agency requested a Meet and Confer session on one or more of the determinations made by Finance. The Meet and Confer session was held on November 17, 2015.

Based on a review of additional information and documentation provided to Finance during the Meet and Confer process, Finance has completed its review of the specific determinations being disputed.

- Item Nos. 20 through 33 – Various City of Chino (City) Promissory Notes and Cooperation Agreements totaling \$15,428,924. Finance continues to deny these items. Pursuant to HSC section 34191.4 (b), loan agreements between the former redevelopment agency and sponsoring entity may be placed on the ROPS if the Agency has received a Finding of Completion and the Agency's oversight board approves the loan as an enforceable obligation by finding the loan was for legitimate redevelopment purposes.

The Agency received a Finding of Completion on May 1, 2014. However, Oversight Board (OB) Resolution Nos. 2015-004 through 2015-017, approving Restated and Amended Loan Agreements for certain Promissory Notes and Cooperation Agreements were denied in our determination letter dated July 27, 2015. Due to Finance's denial of the related OB resolutions, there is not an effective OB action in place to allow funding for this line item. Therefore, these line items are not enforceable obligations and are not eligible for Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) funding totaling \$15,428,924 on this ROPS.

To the extent the Agency believes these loans will now qualify as loans eligible for repayment in light of the passage of Senate Bill 107 and the expanded definition of a loan pursuant to HSC section 34191.4 (b), the Agency should obtain the appropriate OB findings and Finance approvals.

In addition, per Finance's letter dated November 6, 2015, we continue to make the following determinations not contested by the Agency during the Meet and Confer:

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a) (1), the Agency was required to report on the ROPS 15-16B form the estimated obligations versus actual payments (prior period adjustment) associated with the January through June 2015 period (ROPS 14-15B). HSC section 34186 (a) (1) also specifies the prior period adjustment self-reported by the Agency is subject to review by the county auditor-controller (CAC). Proposed CAC adjustments were not received in time for inclusion in this letter; therefore, the amount of RPTTF approved in the table below only reflects the Agency's self-reported prior period adjustment.

Except for the items denied in whole or in part, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 15-16B. The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$4,480,620 as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution	
For the period of January through June 2016	
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	19,784,544
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	125,000
Total RPTTF requested for obligations on ROPS 15-16B	\$ 19,909,544
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	19,784,544
<u>Denied Items</u>	
Item No. 20	(1,832,747)
Item No. 21	(1,308,018)
Item No. 22	(353,151)
Item No. 23	(88,078)
Item No. 24	(514,575)
Item No. 25	(297,876)
Item No. 26	(2,807)
Item No. 27	(6,205,259)
Item No. 28	(2,145,240)
Item No. 29	(541,089)
Item No. 30	(430,485)
Item No. 31	(1,023,932)
Item No. 32	(199,400)
Item No. 33	(486,267)
	(15,428,924)
Total RPTTF authorized for non-administrative obligations	\$ 4,355,620
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	125,000
Total RPTTF authorized for administrative obligations	\$ 125,000
Total RPTTF authorized for obligations	\$ 4,480,620
ROPS 14-15B prior period adjustment	0
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	\$ 4,480,620

On the ROPS 15-16B form, the Agency reported cash balances and activity for the period January 1 through December 31, 2015. Finance will perform a review of the Agency's self-reported cash balances on an ongoing basis. Please be prepared to submit financial records and bridging documents to support the cash balances reported upon request. If it is determined the Agency possesses cash balances that are available to pay approved obligations, HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E) requires these balances be used prior to requesting RPTTF.

Please refer to the ROPS 15-16B schedule used to calculate the total RPTTF approved for distribution:

<http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS>

This is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for January 1 through June 30, 2016. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the six-month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to review and may be denied even if it was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of the redevelopment dissolution statutes. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Evelyn Suess, Dispute Resolution Supervisor, or Danielle Brandon, Analyst, at (916) 445-3274.

Sincerely,



JUSTYN HOWARD
Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Nada Repajic, Management Analyst, City of Chino
Ms. Linda Santillano, Property Tax Manager, San Bernardino County