



November 9, 2015

Mr. Nick Fenley, Acting City Manager
City of Calexico
608 Heber Avenue
Calexico, CA 92231

Dear Mr. Quinn:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m) (1) (A), the City of Calexico Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period January 1 through June 30, 2016 (ROPS 15-16B) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on October 1, 2015. Finance has completed its review of the ROPS 15-16B.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determination:

- Item No. 27 – CUSD Capital Facilities funded from 2011 bond proceeds is partially allowed in the amount of \$71,864. The Agency requests to expend \$1,446,592 derived from its 2011 Tax Allocation Bonds (School District).

Pursuant to HSC section 34191.4 (c) (2), after receiving a Finding of Completion the Agency may expend proceeds derived from bonds issued on or after January 1, 2011 in a manner consistent with the original bond covenants. The Agency received a Finding of Completion on October 3, 2013.

However, HSC 34191.4 (c) (2) (A) limits the Agency's expenditure authority to five percent of the 2011 bond proceeds until the Agency has an approved Last and Final ROPS. As such, the Agency may only expend \$71,864 of proceeds derived from their 2011 Tax Allocation Bonds (School District).

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a) (1), the Agency was required to report on the ROPS 15-16B form the estimated obligations versus actual payments (prior period adjustment) associated with the January through June 2015 period (ROPS 14-15B). HSC section 34186 (a) (1) also specifies the prior period adjustment self-reported by the Agency is subject to review by the county auditor-controller (CAC). Proposed CAC adjustments were not received in time for inclusion in this letter; therefore, the amount of Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) approved in the table below only reflects the Agency's self-reported prior period adjustment.

Except for the item denied in part, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 15-16B. If you disagree with Finance's determination with respect to any items on your ROPS 15-16B, except for those items which are the subject of litigation disputing Finance's previous or related determinations, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$1,625,176 as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution	
For the period of January through June 2016	
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	1,882,024
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	0
Total RPTTF requested for obligations on ROPS 15-16B	\$ 1,882,024
Total RPTTF authorized for non-administrative obligations	1,882,024
Total RPTTF authorized for administrative obligations	0
Total RPTTF authorized for obligations	\$ 1,882,024
ROPS 14-15B prior period adjustment	(256,848)
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	\$ 1,625,176

On the ROPS 15-16B form, the Agency reported cash balances and activity for the period January 1 through December 31, 2015. Finance will perform a review of the Agency's self-reported cash balances on an ongoing basis. Please be prepared to submit financial records and bridging documents to support the cash balances reported upon request. If it is determined the Agency possesses cash balances that are available to pay approved obligations, HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E) requires these balances be used prior to requesting RPTTF.

Please refer to the ROPS 15-16B schedule used to calculate the total RPTTF approved for distribution:

<http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS>

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for January 1 through June 30, 2016. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the six-month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to review and may be denied even if it was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of the redevelopment dissolution statutes. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Mr. Nick Fenley
November 9, 2015
Page 3

Please direct inquiries to Cindie Lor, Supervisor, or Todd Vermillion, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



JUSTYN HOWARD
Program Budget Manager

cc: Mr. Eduardo Gutierrez, Acting Finance Director, City of Calexico
Ms. Ann McDonald, Property Tax Manager, Imperial County