



May 15, 2015

Mr. Ron Millard, Interim Finance Director
City of Vallejo
555 Santa Clara Street
Vallejo, CA 94590

Dear Mr. Millard:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

This letter supersedes the California Department of Finance's (Finance) Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) letter dated March 25, 2015. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Vallejo Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 15-16A) to Finance on February 17, 2015, for the period of July through December 2015. Finance issued a ROPS determination letter on March 25, 2015. Subsequently, the Agency requested a Meet and Confer session on one or more of the determinations made by Finance. The Meet and Confer session was held on April 07, 2015.

Based on a review of additional information and documentation provided to Finance during the Meet and Confer process, Finance has completed its review of the specific determinations being disputed.

- Item No. 7 – 2003 Certificate of Participation (COP) in the amount of \$165,938 requested for ROPS 15-16A and total outstanding amount of \$1,493,449. Finance continues to deny this item. Finance originally denied this item because the 2003 COP is secured solely through lease payments made by the City of Vallejo and there is no requirement to fund this bond through tax increment. Additional review during the Meet and Confer indicates that the COPs were entered into between Union Bank, the City of Vallejo (City), and the Vallejo Public Financing Authority (Authority). The Agency was not a party to the issuance of the COPS. We also note that the Agency did not provide any documents to support that the Agency entered into a loan agreement with the City or the Authority for use or payment of the COP proceeds or for purposes of securing the COP debt as required by 34171 (d) (2). Therefore, this item does not meet the definition of an enforceable obligation and is not eligible for Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund funding.

In addition, per Finance's letter dated March 25, 2015, we continue to make the following determinations not contested by the Agency during the Meet and Confer:

- Item No. 8 – City loan repayment in the amount of \$96,366 is not allowed. The Agency received a Finding of Completion on October 16, 2013. As such, the Agency may place

loan agreements between the former redevelopment agency and sponsoring entity on the ROPS, as an enforceable obligation, provided the oversight board makes a finding the loan was for legitimate redevelopment purposes per HSC section 34191.4 (b) (1). Additionally, HSC section 34191.4 (b) (2) (A) specifies this repayment to be equal to one-half of the increase between the ROPS residual pass-through distributed to the taxing entities in that fiscal year and the ROPS residual pass-through distributed to the taxing entities in the fiscal year 2012-13 base year.

According to the County Auditor-Controller's (CAC) report, the ROPS residual pass-through amount distributed to the taxing entities for fiscal year 2012-13 and 2014-15 are \$363,455 and \$640,522, respectively. Pursuant to the repayment formula outlined in HSC section 34191.4 (b) (2) (A), the maximum repayment amount authorized for fiscal year 2015-16 is \$138,534. Therefore, of the \$234,900 requested, \$96,366 (\$234,900 - \$138,534) of excess loan repayment is not eligible for funding on this ROPS. The Agency may be eligible for additional funding beginning ROPS 16-17A.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 14-15B form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the January through June 2014 period. HSC section 34186 (a) also specifies prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. The amount of RPTTF approved in the table below includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the CAC's review of the Agency's self-reported prior period adjustment.

Except for the items denied in whole or in part, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 15-16A. If you disagree with the determination with respect to any items on your ROPS 15-16A, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$621,962 as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution	
For the period of July through December 2015	
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	769,266
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	125,000
Total RPTTF requested for obligations on ROPS	\$ 894,266
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	769,266
<u>Denied Items</u>	
Item No. 7	(165,938)
Item No. 8	(96,366)
	(262,304)
Total RPTTF authorized for non-administrative obligations	\$ 506,962
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	125,000
Total RPTTF authorized for administrative obligations	\$ 125,000
Total RPTTF authorized for obligations	\$ 631,962
ROPS 14-15A prior period adjustment	(10,000)
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	\$ 621,962

Please refer to the ROPS 15-16A schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

<http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS>

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for July 1 through December 31, 2015. This determination only applies to items where funding was requested for the six-month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to the enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the agency in the RPTTF.

Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (a) (3), only those payments listed on an approved ROPS may be made by the successor agency from the funds specified in the ROPS. However, if the Agency needs to make payments for approved obligations from another funding source, HSC section 34177 (a) (4) requires the Agency to first obtain oversight board approval.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d),

Mr. Ron Millard
May 15, 2015
Page 4

HSC section 34191.4 (c) (2) (B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Evelyn Suess, Dispute Resolution Supervisor, or Mary Halterman, Analyst, at (916) 445-3274.

Sincerely,



JUSTYN HOWARD
Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Kathleen Diohep, Economic Development Manager, City of Vallejo
Ms. Rosemary Bettencourt, Deputy Auditor-Controller, Solano County
California State Controller's Office

Mr. Ron Millard
May 15, 2015
Page 5

I:\Audits and Review\ROPS 15-16A Letters\Justyn's Suspense\4-3 Vallejo ROPS 15-16A
Letter.docx

Email Addresses of Addressee and ccs:

Ron.Millard@cityofvallejo.net
Kathleen.Diohep@cityofvallejo.net
propertytax@solanocounty.com
RDA-SDSupport@sco.ca.gov