DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

EDMUND G. BROWN JR. • GOVERNOR

915 L STREET ■ SACRAMENTO CA ■ 95814-3706 ■ WWW.DOF.CA.GOV

March 23, 2015

Ms. Hannah Chung, Finance Director
City of Tehachapi
115 South Robinson Street
Tehachapi, CA 93561

Dear Ms. Chung:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Tehachapi Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 15-16A) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on February 12, 2015 for the period of July 1 through December 31, 2015. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 15-16A, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

Based on our review, we are approving all of the items listed on your ROPS 15-16A at this time.

However, Finance noted the following:

- Item No. 12 - Cooperative Agreement in the amount of $225,000. It is our understanding; the Agency is requesting payment for a city loan. However, the Agency erroneously requested funding for this line item under Admin RPTTF. Since the amount requested is for an administrative cost shortfall for the prior fiscal year and the shortfall was already funded through the city loan, the $225,000 was reclassified to Non-Admin RPTTF. The adjustment does not affect the total amount of RPTTF requested.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 15-16A form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the July through December 2014 period. HSC section 34186 (a) also specifies prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. Proposed CAC adjustments were not received in time for inclusion in this letter; therefore, the amount of RPTTF approved in the table below only reflects the prior period adjustment self-reported by the Agency.

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is $1,814,699 as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution For the period of July through December 2015		
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations		1,567,699
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations		247,000
Total RPTTF requested for obligations on ROPS	**$**	**1,814,699**
RPTTF adjustment to non-administrative obligations		225,000
RPTTF adjustment to administrative obligations		(225,000)
Total RPTTF adjustments	**$**	**0**
Total RPTTF authorized for non-administrative obligations		1,792,699
Total RPTTF authorized for administrative obligations		22,000
Total RPTTF authorized for obligations	**$**	**1,814,699**
ROPS 14-15A prior period adjustment		0
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	**$**	**1,814,699**

Please refer to the ROPS 15-16A schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for July 1 through December 31, 2015. This determination only applies to items where funding was requested for the six-month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to the enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the agency in the RPTTF.

Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (a) (3), only those payments listed on an approved ROPS may be made by the successor agency from the funds specified in the ROPS. However, if the Agency needs to make payments for approved obligations from another funding source, HSC section 34177 (a) (4) requires the Agency to first obtain oversight board approval.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c) (2) (B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Kylie Oltmann, Supervisor or Nicole Prisakar, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,

JUSTYN HOWARD
Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Daisy Wee, Accounting Officer, City of Tehachapi
Ms. Mary Bedard, Auditor-Controller, Kern County
California State Controller's Office