DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

EDMUND G. BROWN JR. • GOVERNOR

915 L STREET ■ SACRAMENTO CA ■ 95814-3706 ■ WWW.DOF.CA.GOV

April 2, 2015

Ms. Donna Lee, Director of Finance
City of Rio Vista
1 Main Street
Rio Vista, CA 94571

Dear Ms. Lee:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Rio Vista Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 15-16A) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on February 26, 2015 for the period July 1 through December 31, 2015. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 15-16A, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determinations:

- Item Nos. 1 through 4 and 7 – Claimed administrative costs in the amount of $50,700 are denied. Pursuant to HSC 34187 (b) when all of the debt of a redevelopment agency has been retired or paid off, the successor agency shall dispose of all remaining assets and terminate its existence within one year of the final debt payment. It is our understanding the Agency no longer possesses any debt. In addition, the Agency has received approval for its Long Range Property Management Plan (LRPMP) on March 12, 2015. The LRPMP authorized the transfer of the Agency's sole property to the City of Rio Vista for the purposes of future development, leaving no other reason for the Agency to continue operations.

- Finance notes that during our review of the Agency's Cash Balance, the Agency has approximately $61,819 of available funds on hand. Any remaining funds should be remitted to the county auditor-controller (CAC) for distribution to the affected taxing entities.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 15-16A form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the July through December 2014 period. HSC section 34186 (a) also specifies prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the CAC and the State Controller. The amount of Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) approved in the table below includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the CAC's review of the Agency's self-reported prior period adjustment.

Except for the items denied in whole or in part, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 15-16A. If you disagree with the determination with respect to any items on your ROPS 15-16A, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is zero as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution For the period of July through December 2015		
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations		0
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations		50,700
Total RPTTF requested for obligations on ROPS	**$**	**50,700**
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations		**50,700**
Denied Items		
Item No. 1		(27,250)
Item No. 2		(600)
Item No. 3		(2,850)
Item No. 4		(10,000)
Item No. 7		(10,000)
		(50,700)
Total RPTTF authorized for administrative obligations	**$**	**0**
Total RPTTF authorized for obligations	**$**	**0**
ROPS 14-15A prior period adjustment		(26,585)
Excess funds		26,585
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	**$**	**0**

Please refer to the ROPS 15-16A schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for July 1 through December 31, 2015. This determination only applies to items where funding was requested for the six-month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to the enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items

on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the agency in the RPTTF.

Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (a) (3), only those payments listed on an approved ROPS may be made by the successor agency from the funds specified in the ROPS. However, if the Agency needs to make payments for approved obligations from another funding source, HSC section 34177 (a) (4) requires the Agency to first obtain oversight board approval.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c) (2) (B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Wendy Griffe, Supervisor or Jared Smith, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,

JUSTYN HOWARD
Program Budget Manager

cc: Mr. Tim Chapa, City Manager, City of Rio Vista
Ms. Bettencourt, Deputy Auditor Controller, Solano County
California State Controller's Office