



May 15, 2015

Mr. Brad Raulston, Executive Director
City of National City
1243 National City Boulevard
National City, CA 91950

Dear Mr. Raulston:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

This letter supersedes the California Department of Finance's (Finance) Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) letter dated April 14, 2015. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of National City Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 15-16A) to Finance on March 02, 2015, for the period of July through December 2015. Finance issued a ROPS determination letter on April 14, 2015. Subsequently, the Agency requested a Meet and Confer session on one or more of the determinations made by Finance. The Meet and Confer session was held on April 27, 2015.

Based on a review of additional information and documentation provided to Finance during the Meet and Confer process, Finance has completed its review of the specific determinations being disputed.

Item No. 86 – Loan from Sewer Fund in the amount of \$148,322 requested for ROPS 15-16A and total outstanding amount of \$420,000. Finance no longer denies this item. During the Meet and Confer the Agency provided additional documentation to support the loan between the former Redevelopment Agency (RDA) and the City of National City (City) made from the Sewer Fund. As such, Finance approved this loan in our May 15, 2015 Revised Oversight Board Resolution No. 2015-01 determination letter.

Therefore, as calculated by the Agency, the repayment of the loan is subject to the repayment formula outlined in HSC section 34191.4 (b) (2) (A). HSC section 34191.4 (b) (2) (A) allows this repayment to be equal to one-half of the increase between the ROPS residual pass-through distributed to the taxing entities in that fiscal year and the ROPS residual pass-through distributed to the taxing entities in the 2012-13 base year. As such, this item is approved for RPTTF funding on this ROPS totaling \$148,322.

In addition, per Finance's letter dated April 14, 2015, we continue to make the following determinations not contested by the Agency during the Meet and Confer:

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 15-16A form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments)

associated with the July through December 2014 period. HSC section 34186 (a) also specifies prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. The amount of Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) approved in the table below includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the CAC's review of the Agency's self-reported prior period adjustment.

Except for the item denied in whole or in part, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 15-16A.

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$9,070,560 as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution	
For the period of July through December 2015	
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	11,074,033
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	300,000
Total RPTTF requested for obligations on ROPS	\$ 11,374,033
Total RPTTF authorized for non-administrative obligations	\$ 11,074,033
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	300,000
Total RPTTF authorized for administrative obligations	\$ 300,000
Total RPTTF authorized for obligations	\$ 11,374,033
Total ROPS 14-15A PPA	(2,303,473)
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	\$ 9,070,560

Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E), agencies are required to use all available funding sources prior to RPTTF for payment of enforceable obligations. Beginning with the ROPS 13-14B period, Finance required successor agencies to identify fund balances for various types of funds in its possession. During our ROPS 15-16A review, Finance requested financial records to support the fund balances reported by the Agency; however, the Agency was unable to support the beginning balances for Bond Proceeds, Reserve Balances, Other Funds and RPTTF. As a result, Finance will continue to work with the Agency after the ROPS 15-16A review period to properly identify the Agency's fund balances. If it is determined the Agency possesses fund balances that are available to pay approved obligations, the Agency should request the use of these fund balances prior to requesting RPTTF in ROPS 15-16B.

Please refer to the ROPS 15-16A schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

<http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS>

This is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for July 1 through December 31, 2015. This determination only applies to items where funding was requested for the six-month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5

(i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to the enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the agency in the RPTTF.

Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (a) (3), only those payments listed on an approved ROPS may be made by the successor agency from the funds specified in the ROPS. However, if the Agency needs to make payments for approved obligations from another funding source, HSC section 34177 (a) (4) requires the Agency to first obtain oversight board approval.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c) (2) (B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Evelyn Suess, Dispute Resolution Supervisor, or Danielle Brandon, Analyst, at (916) 445-3274

Sincerely,



JUSTYN HOWARD
Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Denise Davis, Executive Secretary, National City
Mr. Jon Baker, Senior Auditor and Controller Manager, San Diego County
California State Controller's Office