

April 6, 2015

Mr. William B. Avera, City Manager
City of Hollister
375 Fifth Street
Hollister, CA 95023

Dear Mr. Avera:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Hollister Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 15-16A) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on February 26, 2015 for the period of July 1 through December 31, 2015. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 15-16A, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determinations:

- It is our understanding the Agency requested the incorrect amounts for the 2009 Tax Allocation Bonds, identified as Item No. 3, and the 2014 Tax Allocation Bonds, identified as Item No. 33. Per discussions with Agency staff and review of documentation provided, the \$2,428,138 requested for Item No. 3 for the six month period should be \$677,506, and the \$1,629,025 requested for Item No. 33 for the six month period should be \$2,428,138. As a result, the total ROPS 15-16A RPTTF funding requested for enforceable obligations has been decreased by \$1,750,632 for Item No. 3, and increased by \$799,113 for Item No. 33.

During our review, which may have included obtaining financial records, Finance determined the Agency possesses funds that should be used prior to requesting Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF). Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E), RPTTF may be used as a funding source, but only to the extent no other funding source is available or when payment from property tax revenues is required by an enforceable obligation. The Agency provided financial records that displayed available Other Funds Balances of \$4,204.

Therefore, with the Agency's concurrence, the funding source for the following item has been reclassified to Other Funds and in the amount specified below:

- Item No. 5 – Bond Expense Funds. The Agency requests \$10,000 of RPTTF; however, Finance is reclassifying \$4,204 to Reserve Balances. This item is an enforceable obligation for the ROPS 15-15A period. However, the obligation does not require payment from property tax revenues and the Agency has \$4,204 in available Other

Funds Balances. Therefore, Finance is approving RPTTF in the amount of \$5,796 and the use of Other Funds Balances in the amount of \$4,204, totaling \$10,000.

It was brought to our attention that Item No. 34 – 2009 Bond West Gateway, was added as a new line item to replace the Item No. 11 which was mistakenly retired during the January through June 30, 2015 (ROPS 14-15B) review. The item is considered an enforceable obligation during the ROPS 15-16A period; however going forward, Finance is retiring Item No. 34 and will be reactivating Item No. 11. If the Agency wishes to request funds for this item on a subsequent ROPS Item No. 11 should be used.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 15-16A form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the July through December 2014 period. HSC section 34186 (a) also specifies prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. Proposed CAC adjustments were not received in time for inclusion in this letter; therefore, the amount of RPTTF approved in the table below only reflects the prior period adjustment self-reported by the Agency.

Except for the items denied in whole or in part or items that have been reclassified, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 15-16A. If you disagree with the determination with respect to any items on your ROPS 15-16A, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$3,255,318 as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution	
For the period of July through December 2015	
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	4,095,663
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	125,000
Total RPTTF requested for obligations on ROPS	\$ 4,220,663
Agency requested RPTTF adjustment to non-administration obligations	
Item No. 3	(1,750,632)
Item No. 33	799,113
Total Agency RPTTF adjustments	\$ (951,519)
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	3,144,144
<u>Cash Balances - Items reclassified to Other Funds/Reserve Balances</u>	
Item No. 5	(4,204)
	(4,204)
Total RPTTF authorized for non-administrative obligations	\$ 3,139,940
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	125,000
Total RPTTF authorized for administrative obligations	\$ 125,000
Total RPTTF authorized for obligations	\$ 3,264,940
ROPS 14-15A prior period adjustment	(9,622)
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	\$ 3,255,318

Please refer to the ROPS 15-16A schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

<http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS>

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for July 1 through December 31, 2015. This determination only applies to items where funding was requested for the six-month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to the enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the agency in the RPTTF.

Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (a) (3), only those payments listed on an approved ROPS may be made by the successor agency from the funds specified in the ROPS. However, if the Agency needs to make payments for approved obligations from another funding source, HSC section 34177 (a) (4) requires the Agency to first obtain oversight board approval.

Mr. William B. Avera
April 6, 2015
Page 4

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c) (2) (B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Beliz Chappuie, Supervisor or Satveer Ark, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



JUSTYN HOWARD
Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Mary M. Paxton, Program Manager, City of Hollister
Mr. Joe Paul Gonzalez, Auditor Controller, San Benito County
California State Controller's Office