

March 25, 2015

Mr. Ernesto Marquez, City Administrator
City of Hawaiian Gardens
21815 Pioneer Boulevard
Hawaiian Gardens, CA 90716

Dear Mr. Marquez:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Hawaiian Gardens Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 15-16A) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on February 26, 2015 for the period of July 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 15-16A, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determination:

- Item No.5 – Supplemental Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund loan repayment in the amount of \$2,446,383 is not allowed. HSC section 34191.4 (b) (2) (A) allows this repayment to be equal to one-half of the increase between the ROPS residual pass-through distributed to the taxing entities in that fiscal year and the ROPS residual pass-through distributed to the taxing entities in the fiscal year 2012-13 base. Further, HSC section 34171 (d) (1) (G) requires the Oversight Board (OB) to approve a repayment schedule for the repayment of the amounts borrowed. No OB approving the repayment schedule has been submitted. Once the OB approves the loan and loan repayment schedule, and the corresponding OB action is approved by Finance, the Agency may request funding for this item on future ROPS. Therefore, these line items are not eligible for Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) funding at this time.

The administrative costs claimed are within the fiscal year administrative cap pursuant to HSC section 34171 (b). However, Finance notes the oversight board has approved an amount that appears excessive, given the number and nature of the obligations listed on the ROPS. HSC section 34179 (i) requires the oversight board to exercise a fiduciary duty to the taxing entities. Therefore, Finance encourages the oversight board to apply adequate oversight when evaluating the administrative resources required to successfully wind-down the Agency.

During our review, which may have included obtaining financial records, Finance determined the Agency possesses funds that should be used prior to requesting RPTTF. Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E), RPTTF may be used as a funding source, but only to the extent no other funding source is available or when payment from property tax revenues is required by an

enforceable obligation. The Agency provided financial records that displayed available Other Funds totaling \$905,273.

Therefore, with the Agency's concurrence, the funding source for the following items has been reclassified to Other Funds and in the amounts specified below:

- Item No. 2 – 2004 Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Series A in the amount of \$1,883,038. The Agency requests \$679,042 of RPTTF and \$1,203,966 of Other Funds; however, Finance is reclassifying \$679,042 to Other Funds. This item is an enforceable obligation for the ROPS 15-16A period. However, the obligation does not require payment from property tax revenues and the Agency has \$679,042 in available Other Funds. Therefore, Finance is approving the use of Other Funds totaling \$1,883,038 (\$1,203,966+\$679,042).
- Item No. 3 – 2006 Tax Allocation Bonds in the amount of \$501,556. The Agency requests \$501,556 of RPTTF; however, Finance is reclassifying \$226,231 to Other Funds. This item is an enforceable obligation for the ROPS 15-16A period. However, the obligation does not require payment from property tax revenues and the Agency has \$226,231 (\$905,273-\$679,042) in available Other Funds. Therefore, Finance is approving RPTTF in the amount of \$275,325 and the use of Other Funds in the amount of \$226,231, totaling \$501,556.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 15-16A form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the July through December 2014 period. HSC section 34186 (a) also specifies prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. The amount of RPTTF approved in the table below includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the CAC's review of the Agency's self-reported prior period adjustment.

Except for the item denied in whole or in part or items that have been reclassified, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 15-16A. If you disagree with the determination with respect to any items on your ROPS 15-16A, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

[http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet and confer/](http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/)

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$418,325 as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution	
For the period of July through December 2015	
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	3,644,981
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	125,000
Total RPTTF requested for obligations on ROPS	\$ 3,769,981
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	3,644,981
<u>Denied Item</u>	
Item No. 5	(2,446,383)
	\$ 1,198,598
<u>Cash Balances - Items reclassified to Other Funds</u>	
Item No. 2	(679,042)
Item No. 3	(226,231)
	(905,273)
Total RPTTF authorized for non-administrative obligations	\$ 293,325
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	125,000
Total RPTTF authorized for administrative obligations	\$ 125,000
Total RPTTF authorized for obligations	\$ 418,325
ROPS 14-15A prior period adjustment	0
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	\$ 418,325

Please refer to the ROPS 15-16A schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

<http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS>

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for July 1 through December 31, 2015. This determination only applies to items where funding was requested for the six-month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to the enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the agency in the RPTTF.

Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (a) (3), only those payments listed on an approved ROPS may be made by the successor agency from the funds specified in the ROPS. However, if the

Agency needs to make payments for approved obligations from another funding source, HSC section 34177 (a) (4) requires the Agency to first obtain oversight board approval.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c) (2) (B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Kylie Oltmann, Supervisor or Veronica Green, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



JUSTYN HOWARD
Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Linda Suniga, Administrative Analyst, City of Hawaiian Gardens
Ms. Susan Hartman, Interim Finance Director, City of Hawaiian Gardens
Ms. Kristina Burns, Manager, Department of Auditor-Controller, Los Angeles County
California State Controller's Office