



May 15, 2015

Ms. Inez Kiriu, Finance Director
City of Galt
380 Civic Drive
Galt, CA 95632

Dear Ms. Kiriu:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

This letter supersedes the California Department of Finance's (Finance) Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) letter dated April 13, 2015. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Galt Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 15-16A) to Finance on March 02, 2015, for the period of July through December 2015. Finance issued a ROPS determination letter on April 13, 2015. Subsequently, the Agency requested a Meet and Confer session on one or more of the determinations made by Finance. The Meet and Confer session was held on April 27, 2015.

Based on a review of additional information and documentation provided to Finance during the Meet and Confer process, Finance has completed its review of the specific determinations being disputed.

During our initial review of the Agency's report of prior period adjustment (PPA) for the July through December 2014 ROPS (ROPS 14-15A) period, Finance noted the Agency understated the available Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) distribution from the Sacramento County Auditor-Controller (CAC) for the Administrative Cost Allowance and adjusted the Agency's self-reported PPA.

Based on our review of information and documentation provided by the CAC during the Meet and Confer process, Finance continues to make this adjustment. According to the CAC distribution report, the Agency received \$23,735 for administrative costs, but the Agency reported only \$19,710 as available. Therefore, Finance has adjusted the Agency's self-reported PPA by \$4,025 (\$23,735-\$19,710) from \$101,721 to \$105,746 (\$101,721 + \$4,025). It is our understanding that the CAC will true up the previous ROPS periods and distribute the additional amounts not previously provided to the Agency but claimed as such.

In addition, per Finance's letter dated April 13, 2015, we continue to make the following determinations not contested by the Agency during the Meet and Confer:

The administrative costs claimed are within the fiscal year administrative cap pursuant to HSC section 34171 (b). However, Finance notes the oversight board has approved an amount that appears excessive, given the number and nature of the obligations listed on the ROPS.

HSC section 34179 (i) requires the oversight board to exercise a fiduciary duty to the taxing entities. Therefore, Finance encourages the oversight board to apply adequate oversight when evaluating the administrative resources required to successfully wind-down the Agency.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 15-16A form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the July through December 2014 period. HSC section 34186 (a) also specifies prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller.

Proposed CAC adjustments were not received in time for inclusion in this letter; therefore, the amount of (RPTTF) approved in the table below only reflects the prior period adjustment self-reported by the Agency, adjusted by Finance.

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$838,988 as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution For the period of July through December 2015	
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	819,734
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	125,000
Total RPTTF requested for obligations on ROPS	\$ 944,734
Total RPTTF authorized for non-administrative obligations	819,734
Total RPTTF authorized for administrative obligations	125,000
Total RPTTF authorized for obligations	\$ 944,734
Self-reported ROPS 14-15A prior period adjustment (PPA)	(101,721)
Finance adjustment to ROPS 14-15A PPA	(4,025)
Total ROPS 14-15A PPA	(105,746)
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	\$ 838,988

Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E), agencies are required to use all available funding sources prior to RPTTF for payment of enforceable obligations. During the ROPS 15-16A review, Finance requested financial records to support the cash balances reported by the Agency. The Agency was able to support the amounts reported, except for the unencumbered funds Finance determined the Agency had during the Due Diligence Review. These funds were not included in the accounting records provided. Finance will continue to work with the Agency after the ROPS 15-16A review period to resolve any remaining issues as described above. If it is determined the Agency possesses additional cash balances that are available to pay approved obligations, the Agency should request the use of these cash balances prior to requesting RPTTF in ROPS 15-16B.

Please refer to the ROPS 15-16A schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

<http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS>

This is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for July 1 through December 31, 2015. This determination only applies to items where funding was requested for the six-month period. Finance's determination is effective for this

time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to the enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the agency in the RPTTF.

Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (a) (3), only those payments listed on an approved ROPS may be made by the successor agency from the funds specified in the ROPS. However, if the Agency needs to make payments for approved obligations from another funding source, HSC section 34177 (a) (4) requires the Agency to first obtain oversight board approval.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c) (2) (B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Evelyn Suess, Dispute Resolution Supervisor, or Danielle Brandon, Analyst, at (916) 445-3274.

Sincerely,



JUSTYN HOWARD
Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Michelle Neeley, Accounting Manager, City of Galt
Mr. Ben Lamera, Assistant Auditor-Controller, Sacramento County
California State Controller's Office