



March 20, 2015

Ms. Debbie Potter, Community Development Director
City of Alameda
2263 Santa Clara Avenue #120
Alameda, Ca 94501

Dear Ms. Potter:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Alameda Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 15-16A) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on February 25, 2015 for the period of July 1 through December 31, 2015. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 15-16A, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determinations:

- Item No. 47 – Long-Range Property Management Plan (LRPMP) and Property Disposition legal expenses in the amount of \$80,000 is partially approved. Our review indicates that the amount requested includes \$50,000 relating to costs associated with drafting, negotiating, and executing compensation agreements with taxing entities for the downtown parking garage and theater project. During LRPMP review, Finance approved the transfer of these properties to the City of Alameda (City) for future development. The City is responsible for any costs associated with negotiating compensation agreements with the taxing entities. Therefore, \$50,000 is not eligible for Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) funding.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 15-16A form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the July through December 2014 period. HSC section 34186 (a) also specifies prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. The amount of RPTTF approved in the table below includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the CAC's review of the Agency's self-reported prior period adjustment.

Except for the item denied in part, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 15-16A. If you disagree with the determination with respect to any items on your ROPS 15-16A, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$3,712,168 as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution	
For the period of July through December 2015	
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	3,758,091
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	99,386
Total RPTTF requested for obligations on ROPS	\$ 3,857,477
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	3,758,091
<u>Denied Item</u>	
Item No. 47	(50,000)
Total RPTTF authorized for non-administrative obligations	\$ 3,708,091
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	99,386
Total RPTTF authorized for administrative obligations	\$ 99,386
Total RPTTF authorized for obligations	\$ 3,807,477
ROPS 14-15A prior period adjustment	(95,309)
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	\$ 3,712,168

Please refer to the ROPS 15-16A schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

<http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS>

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for July 1 through December 31, 2015. This determination only applies to items where funding was requested for the six-month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to the enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the agency in the RPTTF.

Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (a) (3), only those payments listed on an approved ROPS may be made by the successor agency from the funds specified in the ROPS. However, if the Agency needs to make payments for approved obligations from another funding source, HSC section 34177 (a) (4) requires the Agency to first obtain oversight board approval.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c) (2) (B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Beliz Chappuie, Supervisor or Anna Kyumba, Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



JUSTYN HOWARD
Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Elena Adair, Finance Director, City of Alameda
Ms. Carol S. Orth, Tax Analysis, Division Chief, Alameda County
California State Controller's Office