



November 10, 2014

Mr. Tom Weiner, Community Development Director  
City of Walnut  
PO Box 682  
Walnut, CA 91788-0682

Dear Mr. Weiner:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Walnut Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 14-15B) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on September 29, 2014 for the period of January 1 through June 30, 2015. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 14-15B, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determinations:

- Item No. 3 – Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund (LMIHF) loan repayment for purposes of the Supplemental Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund (SERAF) in the amount of \$58,273 is not allowed. HSC section 34191.4 (b) (2) (A) allows this repayment to be equal to one-half of the increase between the ROPS residual pass-through distributed to the taxing entities in that fiscal year and the ROPS residual pass-through distributed to the taxing entities in the fiscal year 2012-13 base year.

According to the County Auditor-Controller's report, the amount distributed to the taxing entities for fiscal year 2012-13 and 2013-14 are \$0 respectively. Therefore, pursuant to the repayment formula, the maximum repayment amount authorized for fiscal year 2014-15 is \$0. Therefore, \$58,273 in Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) funding requested is not allowed.

- Item No. 11 – Reserve for debt service payment totaling \$252,225. Of the total \$3,610,350 requested, \$252,225 was requested as reserves for debt service payments due in the second half of the calendar year. Finance notes that pursuant to HSC section 34183 (a) (2) (A), debt service payments have first priority for payment from distributed RPTTF funding. As such, the \$252,225 requested to be held in reserve along with the amounts required for the current ROPS period should be transferred upon receipt to the bond trustee(s). The amounts approved for debt service payments on this ROPS are restricted for that purpose and are not authorized to be used for other ROPS items. Any requests to fund these debt service items again in the ROPS 15-16A period

will be denied unless insufficient RPTTF was received to satisfy the approved annual debt service payments.

- Item No. 12 – Housing administrative costs totaling \$150,000 continues to be denied as an enforceable obligation. This item was previously denied in Finance’s ROPS 14-15A Meet and Confer letter dated May 16, 2014 and the Agency has not provide any new supporting documentation. Pursuant to HSC section 34171 (p), the housing successor administrative cost allowance is applicable only in cases where the city, county, or city and county that authorized the creation of the redevelopment agency elected to not assume the housing functions. Because the housing successor to the former redevelopment agency of the City of Walnut (City) is the City-formed Housing Authority (Authority) and the Authority operates under the control of the City, the Authority is considered the City under Dissolution Law pursuant to HSC section 34167.10. Therefore, \$150,000 of housing successor administrative allowance is not allowed.

The administrative costs claimed are within the fiscal year administrative cap pursuant to HSC section 34171 (b). However, Finance notes the oversight board has approved an amount that appears excessive, given the number and nature of the obligations listed on the ROPS. HSC section 34179 (i) requires the oversight board to exercise a fiduciary duty to the taxing entities. Therefore, Finance encourages the oversight board to apply adequate oversight when evaluating the administrative resources required to successfully wind-down the Agency.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 14-15B form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the January through June 2014 period. HSC section 34186 (a) also specifies prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to review by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. The amount of RPTTF approved in the table below includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the CAC’s review of the Agency’s self-reported prior period adjustment.

Except for the items denied in whole or in part, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 14-15B. If you disagree with the determination with respect to any items on your ROPS 14-15B, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance’s website below:

[http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet\\_and\\_confer/](http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/)

The Agency’s maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$3,852,503 as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution Table below:

<b>Approved RPTTF Distribution</b>	
<b>For the period of January through June 2015</b>	
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	3,824,873
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	250,000
<b>Total RPTTF requested for obligations on ROPS</b>	<b>\$ 4,074,873</b>
<hr/>	
<b>Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations</b>	<b>3,824,873</b>
<b>Denied Items</b>	
Item No. 3	(58,273)
Item No. 12	(150,000)
	(208,273)
<b>Total RPTTF authorized for non-administrative obligations</b>	<b>\$ 3,616,600</b>
<hr/>	
<b>Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations</b>	<b>250,000</b>
<b>Total RPTTF authorized for administrative obligations</b>	<b>\$ 250,000</b>
<hr/>	
<b>Total RPTTF authorized for obligations</b>	<b>\$ 3,866,600</b>
ROPS 13-14B prior period adjustment	(14,097)
<b>Total RPTTF approved for distribution</b>	<b>\$ 3,852,503</b>

Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E), agencies are required to use all available funding sources prior to RPTTF for payment of enforceable obligations. During the ROPS 14-15B review, Finance requested financial records to support the cash balances reported by the Agency; however, the Agency was unable to support the amounts reported as interest revenue. As a result, Finance will continue to work with the Agency after the ROPS 14-15B review period to properly identify the Agency's cash balances. If it is determined the Agency possesses cash balances that are available to pay approved obligations, the Agency should request the use of these cash balances prior to requesting RPTTF in ROPS 15-16A.

Please refer to the ROPS 14-15B schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

<http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS>

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for January 1 through June 30, 2015. This determination only applies to items where funding was requested for the six-month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to the enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the agency in the RPTTF.

Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (a) (3), only those payments listed on an approved ROPS may be made by the successor agency from the funds specified in the ROPS. However, if for whatever reason the Agency needs to make payments for approved obligations from another funding source, HSC section 34177 (a) (4) requires the Agency to first obtain oversight board approval.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c) (2) (B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Cindie Lor, Supervisor or Veronica Green, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



JUSTYN HOWARD  
Acting Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Cheryl Murase, HdL-Fiscal Consultant, City of Walnut  
Ms. Kristina Burns, Manager, Department of Auditor-Controller, Los Angeles County  
California State Controller's Office