DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

EDMUND G. BROWN JR. • GOVERNOR

915 L STREET ■ SACRAMENTO CA ■ 95814-3706 ■ WWW.DOF.CA.GOV

November 3, 2014

Ms. Debbie McIntyre, Accounting Manager
City of South Lake Tahoe
1901 Airport Road
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150

Dear Ms. McIntyre:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of South Lake Tahoe Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 14-15B) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on September 22, 2014 for the period of January 1 through June 30, 2015. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 14-15B, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determinations:

Item Nos. 8 through 19 – Property maintenance fees totaling $36,864. The Agency's Long-Range Property Management Plan (LRPMP) was approved on June 18, 2014. Our review noted that the properties listed for property maintenance cost were not included in the LRPMP. Based on our discussions with the Agency, it is our understanding that the requested maintenance costs were for city owned properties. Therefore, with the Agency's concurrence, these items have been denied funding from Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Funds (RPTTF) and will be retired.

During our review, which may have included obtaining financial records, Finance determined the Agency possesses funds that should be used prior to requesting RPTTF. Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E), RPTTF may be used as a funding source, but only to the extent no other funding source is available or when payment from property tax revenues is required by an enforceable obligation. The Agency provided financial records that displayed available Other funds totaling $1,758,233. The Agency wishes to use these funds for the ROPS 14-15A shortfall. Once the oversight board approves funding source change for those items, the Agency may be permitted to use the Other funds to cover the shortfall amount.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 14-15B form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the January through June 2014 period. The amount of RPTTF approved in the table below reflects the prior period adjustment self-reported by the Agency.
HSC section 34186 (a) also specifies prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller.

Proposed CAC adjustments were not received in time for inclusion in this letter; therefore, the amount of RPTTF approved in the table below only reflects the prior period adjustment self-reported by the Agency.

Except for the items denied in whole or in part, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 14-15B. If you disagree with the determination with respect to any items on your ROPS 14-15B, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is $2,183,652 as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution Table below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution For the period of January through June 2015		
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations		2,077,084
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations		125,000
Total RPTTF requested for obligations on ROPS	$	**2,202,084**
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations		**2,077,084**
Denied Items		
Item No. 8		(1,536)
Item No. 9		(1,536)
Item No. 10		(1,536)
Item No. 11		(1,536)
Item No. 12		(1,536)
Item No. 13		(1,536)
Item No. 14		(1,536)
Item No. 15		(1,536)
Item No. 16		(1,536)
Item No. 17		(1,536)
Item No. 18		(1,536)
Item No. 19		(1,536)
		(18,432)
Total RPTTF for non-administrative obligations		**2,058,652**
Total RPTTF authorized for non-administrative obligations	$	**2,058,652**
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations		**125,000**
Total RPTTF authorized for administrative obligations	$	**125,000**
Total RPTTF authorized for obligations	$	**2,183,652**
ROPS 13-14B prior period adjustment		0
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	$	**2,183,652**

Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E), agencies are required to use all available funding sources prior to RPTTF for payment of enforceable obligations. During the ROPS 14-15B review, Finance requested financial records to support the cash balances reported by the Agency. The Agency was able to support the amounts reported except other funds received from the city. Finance will continue to work with the Agency after the ROPS 14-15B review

period to resolve any remaining issues as described above. If it is determined the Agency possesses additional cash balances that are available to pay approved obligations, the Agency should request the use of these cash balances prior to requesting RPTTF in ROPS 15-16A.

Please refer to the ROPS 14-15B schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for January 1 through June 30, 2015. This determination only applies to items where funding was requested for the six-month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to the enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the agency in the RPTTF.

Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (a) (3), only those payments listed on an approved ROPS may be made by the successor agency from the funds specified in the ROPS. However, if for whatever reason the Agency needs to make payments for approved obligations from another funding source, HSC section 34177 (a) (4) requires the Agency to first obtain oversight board approval.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c) (2) (B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Beliz Chappuie, Supervisor or Chikako Takagi-Galamba, Manager at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,

JUSTYN HOWARD
Acting Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Olga Tikhomirova, Accountant, City of South Lake Tahoe
Ms. Sally Zutter, Property Tax Division Manager, El Dorado County
California State Controller's Office