

November 14, 2014

Ms. Mari Jimenez, Financial Services Director
City of Coalinga
155 West Durian Avenue
Coalinga, CA 93210

Dear Ms. Jimenez:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Coalinga Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 14-15B) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on October 2, 2014 for the period of January 1 through June 30, 2015. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 14-15B, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determination:

- Item No. 34 – ROPS 14-15A Shortfall for Item No. 9 Successor Agency Administrative Costs in the amount of \$104,000. It is our understanding the Fresno County Auditor-Controller distributed \$125,000 for administrative expenses in the July to December 2014 ROPS (ROPS 14-15A) period. Therefore, these costs are not considered unfunded and are not eligible for Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF).

During our review, which may have included obtaining financial records, Finance determined the Agency possesses funds that should be used prior to requesting Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF). Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E), RPTTF may be used as a funding source, but only to the extent no other funding source is available or when payment from property tax revenues is required by an enforceable obligation. The Agency self-reported available Other Funds balances totaling \$42,500. The Agency already requested \$21,440 of Other Funds in the 14-15B period; therefore, \$21,110 in Other Funds is still available.

Therefore, with the Agency's concurrence, the funding source for the following item has been reclassified to Other Funds and in the amount specified below:

- Item No. 9 – Successor Agency Administrative Costs. The Agency requests \$104,000 of RPTTF; however, Finance is reclassifying \$21,110 to Other Funds. This item is an enforceable obligation for the ROPS 14-15B period. However, the obligation does not require payment from property tax revenues and the Agency has \$21,110 in available Other Funds. Therefore, Finance is approving RPTTF in the amount of \$82,890 and the use of Other Funds in the amount of \$21,110, totaling \$104,000.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 14-15B form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the January through June 2014 period. The amount of RPTTF approved in the table below reflects the prior period adjustment self-reported by the Agency. HSC section 34186 (a) also specifies prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. Proposed CAC adjustments were not received in time for inclusion in this letter; therefore, the amount of RPTTF approved in the table below only reflects the prior period adjustment self-reported by the Agency.

Except for the denied item and the item that has been reclassified, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 14-15B. If you disagree with the determination with respect to any items on your ROPS 14-15B, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$313,605 as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution Table below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution	
For the period of January through June 2015	
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	326,119
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	250,000
Total RPTTF requested for obligations on ROPS	\$ 576,119
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	326,119
<u>Reclassified Items</u>	
Item No. 35	6,000
Item No. 36	15,000
	21,000
Total RPTTF authorized for non-administrative obligations	\$ 347,119
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	250,000
<u>Reclassified Items</u>	
Item No. 9	(21,110)
Item No. 35	(6,000)
Item No. 36	(15,000)
	(42,110)
<u>Denied Item</u>	
Item No. 34	(104,000)
	(104,000)
Total RPTTF authorized for administrative obligations	\$ 103,890
Total RPTTF authorized for obligations	\$ 451,009
Self-reported ROPS 13-14B prior period adjustment (PPA)	(12,404)
Finance adjustment to ROPS 13-14B PPA	(125,000)
Total ROPS 13-14B PPA	(137,404)
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	\$ 313,605

Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E), agencies are required to use all available funding sources prior to RPTTF for payment of enforceable obligations. During the ROPS 14-15B review, Finance requested financial records to support the cash balances reported by the Agency. The Agency was able to support the amounts reported except RPTTF and Other Fund Beginning Balances. Therefore, as noted above, Finance has reclassified the available cash balances (\$21,110) that were supported by the Agency's records. Finance will continue to work with the Agency after the ROPS 14-15B review period to resolve any remaining issues as described above. If it is determined the Agency possesses additional cash balances that are available to pay approved obligations, the Agency should request the use of these cash balances prior to requesting RPTTF in ROPS 15-16A.

Please refer to the ROPS 14-15B schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

<http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS>

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for January 1 through June 30, 2015. This determination only applies to items where funding was requested for the six-month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to the enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the agency in the RPTTF.

Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (a) (3), only those payments listed on an approved ROPS may be made by the successor agency from the funds specified in the ROPS. However, if for whatever reason the Agency needs to make payments for approved obligations from another funding source, HSC section 34177 (a) (4) requires the Agency to first obtain oversight board approval.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c) (2) (B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Nichelle Thomas, Supervisor or Erika Santiago, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



JUSTYN HOWARD
Acting Program Budget Manager

cc: On the following page

Ms. Mari Jimenez
November 14, 2014
Page 4

cc: Ms. Shannon Jensen, Economic Development Assistant, City of Coalinga
Mr. George Gomez, Accounting Financial Manager, Fresno County
California State Controller's Office