DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

EDMUND G. BROWN JR. • GOVERNOR

915 L STREET ■ SACRAMENTO CA ■ 95814-3706 ■ WWW.DOF.CA.GOV

November 14, 2014

Mr. Steve Hallam, Economic Development Manager
City of Ceres
2720 2nd Street
Ceres, CA 95307-3292

Dear Mr. Hallam:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Ceres Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 14-15B) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on October 1, 2014 for the period of January 1 through June 30, 2015. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 14-15B, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

Based on our review, we are approving all of the items listed on your ROPS 14-15B at this time.

However, the following discrepancies were noted during our review:

Item Nos. 1, 2, and 3 – Tax Allocation Bonds were underfunded for the annual debt service payments. The Agency does not receive enough Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Funds (RPTTF) to make payment during the July through December period and should request reserves for the next period. Therefore, with the Agency's concurrence, Finance will increase the RPTTF request for the following items:

Item No.	ROPS 14-15B Request for RPTTF	Adjustment (Increase) to Original Requested Amount	Total Request for ROPS 14-15B
1	$ 298,592	$ 326,796	$ 625,388
2	$ 638,263	$ 744,131	$ 1,382,394
3	$ 23,237	$ 36,618	$ 59,855
Total	$ 960,092	$ 1,107,545	$ 2,067,637

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 14-15B form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the January through June 2014 period. The amount of RPTTF approved in the table below reflects the prior period adjustment self-reported by the Agency.
HSC section 34186 (a) also specifies prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. Proposed CAC adjustments were not received in time for inclusion in this letter; therefore, the

amount of RPTTF approved in the table below only reflects the prior period adjustment self-reported by the Agency.

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is $2,358,139 as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution Table below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution For the period of January through June 2015		
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations		1,125,594
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations		125,000
Total RPTTF requested for obligations on ROPS	**$**	**1,250,594**
RPTTF adjustment to non-administrative obligations		1,107,545
RPTTF adjustment to administrative obligations		0
Total RPTTF adjustments	**$**	**1,107,545**
Total RPTTF authorized for non-administrative obligations		2,233,139
Total RPTTF authorized for administrative obligations		125,000
Total RPTTF authorized for obligations	**$**	**2,358,139**
ROPS 13-14B prior period adjustment		0
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	**$**	**2,358,139**

Please refer to the ROPS 14-15B schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for January 1 through June 30, 2015. This determination only applies to items where funding was requested for the six-month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to the enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the agency in the RPTTF.

Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (a) (3), only those payments listed on an approved ROPS may be made by the successor agency from the funds specified in the ROPS. However, if for whatever reason the Agency needs to make payments for approved obligations from another funding source, HSC section 34177 (a) (4) requires the Agency to first obtain oversight board approval.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c) (2) (B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Wendy Griffe, Supervisor or Jenny DeAngelis, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,

JUSTYN HOWARD
Acting Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Sheila Cumberland, Finance Director/Deputy City Manager, City of Ceres
Ms. Lauren Klein, Auditor-Controller, Stanislaus County
California State Controller's Office