DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

EDMUND G. BROWN JR. • GOVERNOR
915 L STREET ■ SACRAMENTO CA ■ 95814-3706 ■ WWW.DOF.CA.GOV

April 14, 2014

Mr. Ramon Lara, City Administrator
City of Woodlake
350 North Valencia Boulevard
Woodlake, CA 93286

Dear Mr. Lara:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Woodlake Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 14-15A) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on March 3, 2014 for the period of July through December 2014. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 14-15A, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

HSC section 34171 (d) defines enforceable obligations. Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, the following does not qualify as an enforceable obligation for the reasons specified:

- Item No. 4 – Loan repayment in the amount of $758,445. Pursuant to HSC section 34191.4 (b), loan agreements between the former redevelopment agency and sponsoring entity may be placed on the ROPS if the following requirements are met: (1) The Agency has received a Finding of Completion; and (2) The Agency's oversight board approves the loan as an enforceable obligation by finding the loan was for legitimate redevelopment purposes.

  The Agency received a Finding of Completion on June 12, 2013. OB Resolution 13-03 approving loans in the amount of $757,214 as an enforceable obligation and finding the loan was for legitimate redevelopment purposes, was denied. The Agency was unable to provide documentation to substantiate the loan principal amount due. As such, this item is not eligible for funding. To the extent the Agency can provide adequate documentation to support the loan amount, the Agency may be able to obtain Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) funding on future ROPS.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 14-15A form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the July through December 2013 period. HSC section 34186 (a) also specifies prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. The amount of RPTTF approved in the table below includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the CAC's audit of the Agency's self-reported prior period adjustment.

The amount of RPTTF approved in the table below includes excess prior period adjustment of $34,919. The current approved RPTTF is insufficient to allow for the prior period adjustments of $105,669 during this ROPS period. The Agency should apply the remaining funds prior to requesting RPTTF on future ROPS.

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is zero as summarized below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution For the period of July through December 2014		
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations		83,844
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations		62,500
Total RPTTF requested for obligations	**$**	**146,344**
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations		**83,844**
Denied Item		
Item No. 3		(75,594)
Total RPTTF authorized for non-administrative obligations	**$**	**8,250**
Total RPTTF authorized for administrative obligations	**$**	**62,500**
Total RPTTF authorized for obligations	**$**	**70,750**
ROPS 13-14A prior period adjustment (PPA)		(105,669)
Excess PPA		34,919
Total RPTTF approved for distribution		**$0**

Please refer to the ROPS 14-15A schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for July 1 through December 31, 2014. This determination only applies to items where funding was requested for the six-month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c) (2) (B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Beliz Chappuie, Supervisor or Todd Vermillion, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,

JUSTYN HOWARD
Assistant Program Budget Manager

cc: Mr. Michal Mierzwinski, Accountant, City of Woodlake
Ms. Rita A Woodard, Auditor-Controller, Tulare County
California State Controller's Office