



April 15, 2014

Mr. Luke Watson, Senior Planner
City of Temecula
41000 Main Street
Temecula, CA 92589

Dear Mr. Watson:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Temecula Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 14-15A) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on March 3, 2014 for the period of July through December 2014. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 14-15A, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

Based on our review, we are approving all of the items listed on your ROPS 14-15A at this time.

The Agency requested funding increase to Item No.19 – 3rd and Front Owner Participation Agreement (OPA), in the amount of 4,000,000. This item was continued to be denied during ROPS 13-14B period. However, the Agency notified Finance of a revised determination on this item after a Meet and Confer session related to its Housing Assets Transfer. Finance determined this item is an enforceable obligation and that The Agency may request the use of bond proceeds in our letter dated July 3, 2013. Therefore, Finance has updated the requested amount for Item 19 for \$4,000,000 in Bond Proceeds.

During our review, which may have included obtaining financial records, Finance determined the Agency possesses funds that should be used prior to requesting Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF). Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E), RPTTF may be used as a funding source, but only to the extent no other funding source is available or when payment from property tax revenues is required by an enforceable obligation. The Agency provided financial records that displayed available Other Balances totaling \$879,494.

Therefore, with the Agency's concurrence, the funding source for the following items have been reclassified to Other Funds and in the amounts specified below:

- Item No. 17 – CSUSM Campus Funding Agreement in the amount of \$97,704. The Agency requested \$97,704 of RPTTF; however, Finance is reclassifying \$61,904 to Other Balances. This item is an enforceable obligation for the ROPS 14-15B period. However, the obligation does not require full payment from property tax revenues and the Agency has \$879,494 in available Other Balances. Therefore, Finance is approving

RPTTF in the amount of \$35,800 and the use of Other Balances in the amount of \$61,904, totaling \$97,704.

- Item No. 22 – Unfunded Obligation in the amount of \$500,000. The Agency only requested only \$35,219 of RPTTF because it did not expect sufficient RPTTF distribution from Riverside County Auditor Controller for the ROPS 14-15A period. Since the Agency has \$879,494 in available Other Funds, Finance is approving RPTTF in the amount of \$0 and the use of Other Balances in the amount of \$500,000.
- Item Nos. 23 through 26 – Unfunded Obligations in the total amount of \$317,590. The Agency did not expect sufficient RPTTF distribution from Riverside County Auditor Controller for the ROPS 14-15A period and withheld the request for funding for these items until the following ROPS period. Since the Agency has available balances of \$879,494 in Other Funds, the Agency is permitted to request funding for Item Nos. 23 through 26. Therefore, Finance is approving the use of Other Balances in the amount of \$317,590 for Item Nos. 23 through 26.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 14-15A form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the July through December 2013 period. HSC section 34186 (a) also specifies prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. The amount of RPTTF approved in the table below includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the CAC's audit of the Agency's self-reported prior period adjustment.

Except for items that have been reclassified, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 14-15A. If you disagree with the determination with respect to any items on your ROPS 14-15A, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$3,597,344 as summarized below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution	
For the period of July through December 2014	
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	3,902,574
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	125,000
Total RPTTF requested for obligations	\$ 4,027,574
Total RPTTF for non-administrative obligations	3,902,574
<u>Cash Balances - Items reclassified to other funding sources</u>	
Item No. 17	(61,904)
Item No. 22	(35,219)
	(97,123)
Total RPTTF authorized for non-administrative obligations	\$ 3,805,451
Total RPTTF authorized for administrative obligations	\$ 125,000
Total RPTTF authorized for obligations	\$ 3,930,451
ROPS 13-14A prior period adjustment	(333,107)
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	\$ 3,597,344

Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E), agencies are required to use all available funding sources prior to RPTTF for payment of enforceable obligations. During the ROPS 14-15A review, Finance requested financial records to support the cash balances reported by the Agency; however, Finance was unable to reconcile the financial records to the amounts reported. As a result, Finance will continue to work with the Agency after the ROPS 14-15A review period to properly identify the Agency's cash balances. If it is determined the Agency possesses cash balances that are available to pay approved obligations, the Agency should request the use of these cash balances prior to requesting RPTTF in ROPS 14-15B.

Please refer to the ROPS 14-15A schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

<http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS>

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for July 1 through December 31, 2014. This determination only applies to items where funding was requested for the six-month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d),

Mr. Luke Watson

April 15, 2014

Page 4

HSC section 34191.4 (c) (2) (B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Beliz Chappuie, Supervisor or Susana Medina-Jackson, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



JUSTYN HOWARD

Assistant Program Budget Manager

cc: Mr. Rudy Graciano, Revenue Manager, City of Temecula
Ms. Pam Elias, Chief Accountant Property Tax Division, Riverside County
California State Controller's Office