



April 3, 2014

Mr. Dennis McLean, Finance Officer
City of Rancho Palos Verdes
30940 Hawthorne Boulevard
Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275

Dear Mr. McLean:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Rancho Palos Verdes Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 14-15A) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on February 27, 2014 for the period of July through December 2014. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 14-15A, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

Based on our review, we are approving all of the items listed on your ROPS 14-15A at this time.

However, Finance noted the following:

- Item No. 3 – The total outstanding balance for the Consolidated Loan from the City is overstated. Pursuant to HSC section 34191.4 (b) (2), the recalculation of the accumulated interest from loan origination is not to exceed the interest rate earned by funds deposited in the Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF). The total outstanding balance for Item No. 3 includes miscalculated interest. The accumulated interest on the loan should be recalculated using the LAIF interest rate at the time when the Agency's Oversight Board makes a finding the loan was for legitimate redevelopment purposes. Since the amount requested for ROPS 14-15A does not exceed the repayment formula outlined in HSC section 34191.4 (b) (2) (A), Finance is approving the amount requested. However, the approved amount of \$36,458 should only be applied to repayment of principal for Item No. 3.

Further, the Agency should recalculate the interest using the LAIF interest rate at the time the Agency's Oversight Board made the finding the loan was for legitimate redevelopment purposes, and reflect the reduction to the loan's total outstanding balance in subsequent ROPS submittals.

During our review, which may have included obtaining financial records, Finance determined the Agency possesses funds that should be used prior to requesting Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF). Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E), RPTTF may be used as a funding source, but only to the extent no other funding source is available or when payment

from property tax revenues is required by an enforceable obligation. The Agency provided financial records that displayed available Other Funds totaling \$54,709.

Therefore, the funding source for the following items have been reclassified to Other Funds and in the amounts specified below:

- Item Nos. 1 and 3 – 1997 Tax Increment Bond and Consolidated Loan from the City totaling \$311,708. The Agency requests a combined \$311,708 of RPTTF for these line items; however Finance is reclassifying \$54,709 to Other Funds. These items are enforceable obligations for the ROPS 14-15B period. However, the Agency has \$54,709 in available Other Funds. Therefore, Finance is approving RPTTF in the amount of \$256,999 and the use of Other Funds in the amount of \$54,709, totaling \$311,708.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 14-15A form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the July through December 2013 period. HSC section 34186 (a) also specifies prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. The amount of RPTTF approved in the table below includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the CAC’s audit of the Agency’s self-reported prior period adjustment.

Except for the items denied in whole or in part as enforceable obligations that have been reclassified, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 14-15A. If you disagree with the determination with respect to any items on your ROPS 14-15A, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance’s website below:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/

The Agency’s maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$182,144 as summarized below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution	
For the period of July through December 2014	
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	311,708
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	0
Total RPTTF requested for obligations	\$ 311,708
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	311,708
<u>Cash Balances - Items reclassified to other funding sources</u>	
Item No. 1	(18,251)
Item No. 3	(36,458)
	(54,709)
Total RPTTF authorized for non-administrative obligations	\$ 256,999
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	0
Total RPTTF authorized for administrative obligations	\$ 0
Total RPTTF authorized for obligations	\$ 256,999
ROPS 13-14A prior period adjustment	(74,855)
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	\$ 182,144

Please refer to the ROPS 14-15A schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

<http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS>

This is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for July 1 through December 31, 2014. This determination only applies to items where funding was requested for the six-month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c) (2) (B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Kylie Oltmann, Supervisor or Hugo Lopez, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



JUSTYN HOWARD
Assistant Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Kathryn Downs, Deputy Director of Finance & IT, City of Rancho Palos Verdes
Ms. Kristina Burns, Manager, Department of Auditor-Controller, Los Angeles County
California State Controller's Office