



December 17, 2013

Mr. Jeremy Craig, Director of Finance and Technology  
City of Vacaville  
600 Merchant Street  
Vacaville, CA 95688

Dear Mr. Craig:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

This letter supersedes the California Department of Finance's (Finance) Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) letter dated November 8, 2013. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Vacaville Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 13-14B) to Finance on September 27, 2013, for the period of January through June 2014. Finance issued a ROPS determination letter on November 8, 2013. Subsequently, the Agency requested a Meet and Confer session on one or more of the items denied by Finance. The Meet and Confer session was held on November 21, 2013.

Based on a review of additional information and documentation provided to Finance during the Meet and Confer process, Finance has completed its review of the specific items being disputed.

- Item Nos. 6, 7, and 29 – Bond Proceeds totaling \$5,758,386. Finance no longer denies \$869,289, \$27,664, and \$541,725, respectively, for these items. The Agency received a Finding of Completion on April 11, 2013, making them eligible to use bond proceeds. However, Finance initially denied the items as insufficient documentation was provided to substantiate the need for the requested bond proceeds. During the Meet and Confer process, the Agency provided additional information related to the estimated expenditures for the ROPS 13-14B period. Therefore, these items are eligible for bond funding during this period in the amounts of \$869,289, \$27,664, and \$541,725, respectively.

In addition, per Finance's letter dated November 8, 2013, we continue to deny the following item not contested by the Agency during the Meet and Confer:

- Item No. 5 – Bond Proceeds in the amount of \$1,244,361. The Agency received a Finding of Completion on April 11, 2013, making them eligible to use bond proceeds. The Agency provided a Disposition, Development, and Loan Agreement between the City of Vacaville and Rocky Hill Investors. This appears to be a valid use of bond proceeds; however, the agreement states \$4,355,639 is to be funded by the City of Vacaville Housing Successor with bond proceeds. In addition, the Agency estimated

\$10,000 for legal and \$91,918 for staff time associated with project implementation. Therefore, only \$4,457,557 out of the \$5,701,918 requested is eligible for bond proceeds funding, while \$1,244,361 is not eligible for funding during this period.

Pursuant to HSC Section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 13-14B form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the January through June 2013 period. HSC Section 34186 (a) also specifies that the prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. The amount of Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) approved in the table below includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the CAC's audit of the Agency's self-reported prior period adjustment.

Except for the items denied in whole or in part as enforceable obligations, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 13-14B. The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$5,322,747 as summarized below:

<b>Approved RPTTF Distribution Amount For the period of January through June 2014</b>	
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	7,438,685
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	223,161
<b>Total RPTTF requested for obligations</b>	<b>\$ 7,661,846</b>
<b>Total RPTTF approved for non-administrative obligations</b>	<b>7,438,685</b>
<b>Total RPTTF approved for administrative obligations</b>	<b>223,160</b>
<b>Total RPTTF approved for obligations</b>	<b>7,661,845</b>
ROPS III prior period adjustment	(2,339,098)
<b>Total RPTTF approved for distribution</b>	<b>\$ 5,322,747</b>

Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E), agencies are required to use all available funding sources prior to RPTTF for payment of enforceable obligations. Beginning with the ROPS 13-14B period, Finance required successor agencies to identify fund balances for various types of funds in its possession. During our ROPS 13-14B review, Finance requested financial records to support the fund balances reported by the Agency; however, Finance was unable to reconcile the financial records to the amounts reported. As a result, Finance will continue to work with the Agency after the ROPS 13-14B review period to properly identify the Agency's fund balances. If it is determined the Agency possesses fund balances that are available to pay approved obligations, the Agency should request the use of these fund balances prior to requesting RPTTF in ROPS 14-15A.

Please refer to the ROPS 13-14B schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

[http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS 13-14B Forms by Successor Agency/.](http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS%2013-14B%20Forms%20by%20Successor%20Agency/)

This is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for January 1 through June 30, 2014. This determination applies only to items where funding was requested for the six month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have

received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010, exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c)(2)(B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Evelyn Suess, Dispute Resolution Supervisor, or Mary Halterman, Analyst, at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



JUSTYN HOWARD  
Assistant Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Emily Cantu, Interim Housing Services Director, City of Vacaville  
Mr. Jun Adeva, Deputy Auditor Controller, Solano County  
California State Controller's Office