



REVISED

November 1, 2013

Mr. Travis Hickey, Assistant Director of Finance and Admin Services
City of Santa Fe Springs
11710 East Telegraph Road
Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670

Dear Mr. Hickey:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

This letter supersedes California Department of Finance's (Finance) Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 13-14B) determination letter dated October 25, 2013. A revision was necessary to correct the amount of administrative cost allowance distributed to the Agency from the Los Angeles County Auditor-Controller (CAC) for the ROPS 13-14A period. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Santa Fe Springs Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a ROPS 13-14B to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on September 12, 2013 for the period of January through June 2014. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 13-14B, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

Based on our review, we are approving all of the items listed on your ROPS 13-14B at this time.

Pursuant to HSC Section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 13-14B form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the January through June 2013 period. HSC Section 34186 (a) also specifies that the prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. The amount of RPTTF approved in the table below includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the CAC's audit of the Agency's self-reported prior period adjustment.

Except for the item denied in whole or in part as enforceable obligation Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 13-14B. This determination applies only to items where funding was requested for the six month period. If you disagree with the determination with respect to any items on your ROPS 13-14B, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$9,643,471 as summarized below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution Amount For the period of January through June 2014	
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	12,149,431
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	364,483
Total RPTTF requested for obligations	\$ 12,513,914
Total RPTTF approved for non-administrative obligations	12,149,431
Total RPTTF allowable for administrative obligations (see Admin Cost Cap table below)	364,483
Total RPTTF approved for obligations	12,513,914
ROPS III prior period adjustment	(2,870,443)
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	9,643,471
Administrative Cost Cap Calculation	
Total RPTTF for 13-14A (July through December 2013)	9,955,593
Total RPTTF for 13-14B (January through June 2014)	12,149,431
Total RPTTF for fiscal year 2013-14	22,105,024
Allowable administrative cost for fiscal year 2013-14 (Greater of 3% or \$250,000)	663,151
Administrative allowance for 13-14A (July through December 2013)	298,668
Allowable RPTTF distribution for administrative cost for ROPS 13-14B	364,483

Please refer to the ROPS 13-14B schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

[http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS 13-14B Forms by Successor Agency/.](http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS%2013-14B%20Forms%20by%20Successor%20Agency/)

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for January 1 through June 30, 2014. This determination applies only to items where funding was requested for the six month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d); HSC section 34191.4 (c)(2)(B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Mr. Travis Hickey
November 1, 2013
Page 3

Please direct inquiries to Kylie Le, Supervisor or Michael Barr, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'J. Howard', with a long horizontal stroke extending to the left.

Justyn Howard
Assistant Program Budget Manager

cc: Mr. Jose Gomez, Asst. City Manager/Director of Finance, City of Santa Fe Springs
Ms. Kristina Burns, Manager, Los Angeles County Department of Auditor-Controller
California State Controller's Office