



October 28, 2013

Ms. Mindy Cuppy, City Clerk
City of Rancho Cordova
2729 Prospect Park Drive
Rancho Cordova, CA 95671

Dear Ms. Cuppy:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Rancho Cordova Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 13-14B) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on September 18, 2013 for the period of January through June 2014. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 13-14B, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

HSC section 34171 (d) defines enforceable obligations. Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, the following do not qualify as enforceable obligations for the reasons specified:

Item Nos. 26 and 27 – City of Rancho Cordova (City) Reimbursement Agreements totaling \$56,333, payable from Reserve Funds. This amount was transferred to the City on June 30, 2011 as an interest payment. Finance disallowed the transfer in our Other Funds and Accounts Due Diligence Review determination letter dated March 5, 2013, because this transfer was not made pursuant to an enforceable obligation. The Agency subsequently requested a Meet and Confer, held on March 18, 2013. Finance upheld its determination and continued to disallow the transfer as communicated in our letter dated April 6, 2013. As such, these items are not enforceable and are not eligible for Reserve Fund funding.

Pursuant to HSC Section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the January through June 2013 period. The amount of RPTTF approved in the table below includes the prior period adjustment that was self-reported by the Agency. HSC Section 34186 (a) also specifies that the prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. Any proposed CAC adjustments were not received in time for inclusion in this letter. Therefore, the amount of RPTTF approved in the table below includes only the prior period adjustment that was self-reported by the Agency.

Except for the items denied in whole or in part as enforceable obligations or for item that have been reclassified, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 13-14B. If you disagree with the determination with respect to any items on your ROPS 13-14B, you may

request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$343,665 as summarized below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution Amount for the period of January through June 2014	
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	218,665
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	125,000
Total Requested RPTTF	\$ 343,665
Total RPTTF approved for non-administrative obligations	218,665
Total RPTTF approved for administrative obligations	125,000
Total RPTTF approved for obligations	\$ 343,665
ROPS III prior period adjustment	-
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	\$ 343,665

Please refer to the ROPS 13-14B schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

[http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS 13-14B Forms by Successor Agency/](http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS%2013-14B%20Forms%20by%20Successor%20Agency/).

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for January 1 through June 30, 2014. This determination applies only to items where funding was requested for the six month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c)(2)(B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Ms. Mindy Cuppy
October 28, 2013
Page 3

Please direct inquiries to Nichelle Thomas, Supervisor or Susana Medina Jackson, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



JUSTYN HOWARD
Assistant Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Michelle Mingay, Senior Finance Analyst, City of Rancho Cordova
Mr. Carlos Valencia, Senior Accounting Manager, Sacramento County
California State Controller's Office