DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

EDMUND G. BROWN JR. • GOVERNOR
915 L STREET ■ SACRAMENTO CA ■ 95814-3706 ■ WWW.DOF.CA.GOV

October 23, 2013

Mr. Michael Matsumoto, Director of Finance/Treasurer
City of Pico Rivera
6615 Passons Boulevard
Pico Rivera, CA 90660

Dear Mr. Matsumoto:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Pico Rivera Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 13-14B) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on September 18, 2013 for the period of January through June 2014. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 13-14B, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

HSC section 34171 (d) defines enforceable obligations. Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, the following does not qualify as an enforceable obligation for the reason specified:

- Item No. 3 – A loan in the amount of $161,422 is identified as a loan from the Low Moderate Income Housing Fund to the Supplemental Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund (SERAF). HSC section 34176 (e) (6) (B) limits the repayment of this loan to one-half of the increase between the amount of residual Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) distributed to the taxing entities in a fiscal year and the amount of residual RPTTF distributed in fiscal year 2012-13. Therefore, the Agency must wait until at least ROPS 14-15A to apply the formula and request RPTTF for this obligation.

Pursuant to HSC Section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 13-14B form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the January through June 2013 period. HSC Section 34186 (a) also specifies that the prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. The amount of RPTTF approved in the below table includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the CAC's audit of the Agency's self-reported prior period adjustment.

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is $1,892,775 as summarized below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution Amount For the period of January through June 2014		
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations		1,804,197
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations		250,000
Total RPTTF requested for obligations	**$**	**2,054,197**
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations		**1,804,197**
Denied Items		
Item No. 40		(161,422)
Total RPTTF approved for non-administrative obligations		**1,642,775**
Total RPTTF allowable for administrative obligations (see Admin Cost Cap table below)		**250,000**
Total RPTTF approved for obligations		**1,892,775**
ROPS III prior period adjustment		0
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	**$**	**1,892,775**
Administrative Cost Cap Calculation		
Total RPTTF for 13-14A (July through December 2013)		2,487,725
Total RPTTF for 13-14B (January through June 2014)		1,642,775
Total RPTTF for fiscal year 2013-14		**4,130,500**
Allowable administrative cost for fiscal year 2013-14 (Greater of 3% or $250,000)		250,000
Administrative allowance for 13-14A (July through December 2013)		-
Allowable RPTTF distribution for administrative cost for ROPS 13-14B		**250,000**

Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E), agencies are required to use all available funding sources prior to RPTTF for payment of enforceable obligations. Beginning with the ROPS 13-14B period, Finance required successor agencies to identify fund balances for various types of funds in its possession. During our ROPS 13-14B review, Finance requested financial records to support the fund balances reported by the Agency; however, Finance was unable to reconcile the financial records to the amounts reported. As a result, Finance will continue to work with the Agency after the ROPS 13-14B review period to properly identify the Agency's fund balances. If it is determined the Agency possesses fund balances that are available to pay approved obligations, the Agency should request the use of these fund balances prior to requesting RPTTF in ROPS 14-15A.

Please refer to the ROPS 13-14B schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS 13-14B Forms by Successor Agency/.

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for January 1 through June 30, 2014. This determination applies only to items where funding was requested for the six month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and

Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c)(2)(B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Kylie Le, Supervisor or Michael Barr, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,

JUSTYN HOWARD
Assistant Program Budget Manager

cc: Mr. Jim Simon, RSG (Consultant), City of Pico Rivera
Ms. Kristina Burns, Manager, Los Angeles County Department of Auditor-Controller
California State Controller's Office