DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

EDMUND G. BROWN JR. • GOVERNOR
915 L STREET ■ SACRAMENTO CA ■ 95814-3706 ■ WWW.DOF.CA.GOV

November 15, 2013

Mr. Michael Powers, City Manager
City of King
212 South Vanderhurst Avenue
King City, CA 93930

Dear Mr. Powers:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of King Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 13-14B) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on October 02, 2013 for the period of January through June 2014. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 13-14B, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

HSC section 34171 (d) defines enforceable obligations. Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, the following do not qualify as enforceable obligations for the reasons specified:

- Item No. 6 – Gregory Judgment in the amount of $41,039. The Agency requests $60,000, however, according to the Agency's financial statements, the total amount due as of June 30, 2011 was only $18,961. The Agency was unable to provide additional documentation to support the total amount claimed. Therefore, the excess $41,039 ($60,000-$18,961) is not eligible for Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) funding.

- Item Nos. 7 through 9 – Various Notes totaling $573,809. The Agency requests a total of $513,022 for these obligations, however, according to the Agency's financial statements, the total amount due as of June 30, 2011 was only $150,919. The Agency was unable to provide additional documentation to support the total amount claimed. Therefore, the requested RPTTF funding has been adjusted by $362,103 ($513,022-$150,919).

- Item Nos. 10 and 16– Property Tax Pass-Through True-Up and Property Maintenance Costs totaling $582,585. No documentation was provided to support the amounts claimed. Therefore, these items are not enforceable obligations and are not eligible for RPTTF funding.

Pursuant to HSC Section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the January through June 2013 period. The amount of RPTTF approved in the table below includes the prior period

adjustment that was self-reported by the Agency. HSC Section 34186 (a) also specifies that the prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. Any proposed CAC adjustments were not received in time for inclusion in this letter. Therefore, the amount of RPTTF approved in the table below includes only the prior period adjustment that was self-reported by the Agency.

Except for items denied in whole or in part as enforceable obligations, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 13-14B. If you disagree with the determination with respect to any items on your ROPS 13-14B, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is $556,647 as summarized below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution Amount For the period of January through June 2014	
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	1,544,673
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	125,000
Total RPTTF requested for obligations	**$ 1,669,673**
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	**1,544,673**
Denied Items	
Item No. 6	(41,039)
Item No. 7	(12,431)
Item No. 8	(13,295)
Item No. 9	(301,196)
Item No. 10	(552,585)
Item No. 15	(2,500)
	(923,046)
Total RPTTF approved for non-administrative obligations	**621,627**
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	**125,000**
Total RPTTF for administrative obligations	**125,000**
Total ROPS III PPA	(189,980)
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	**$ 556,647**

Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E), agencies are required to use all available funding sources prior to RPTTF for payment of enforceable obligations. Beginning with the ROPS 13-14B period, Finance required successor agencies to identify fund balances for various types of funds in its possession. During our ROPS 13-14B review, Finance requested financial records to support the fund balances reported by the Agency; however, Finance was unable to reconcile the financial records to the amounts reported. As a result, Finance will continue to work with the Agency after the ROPS 13-14B review period to properly identify the Agency's fund balances. If it is determined the Agency possesses fund balances that are available to pay approved obligations, the Agency should request the use of these fund balances prior to requesting RPTTF in ROPS 14-15A.

Please refer to the ROPS 13-14B schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS 13-14B Forms by Successor Agency/.

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for January 1 through June 30, 2014. This determination applies only to items where funding was requested for the six month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c)(2)(B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Nichelle Thomas, Supervisor or Susana Medina Jackson, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,

JUSTYN HOWARD
Assistant Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Cindy Iglesias, Administrative Assistant, City of King
Ms. Julie Aguero, Auditor Controller Analyst II, Monterey County
California State Controller's Office