DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

EDMUND G. BROWN JR. • GOVERNOR

915 L STREET ■ SACRAMENTO CA ■ 95814-3706 ■ WWW.DOF.CA.GOV

November 7, 2013

Ms. Eva Carreon, Finance Director
City of Irwindale
5050 N. Irwindale Avenue
Irwindale, CA 91706

Dear Ms. Carreon:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Irwindale Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 13-14B) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on September 26, 2013 for the period of January through June 2014. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 13-14B, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

HSC section 34171 (d) defines enforceable obligations. Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, the following do not qualify as enforceable obligations for the reasons specified:

- Item No. 29 – Supplemental Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund (SERAF) Loan Repayment in the amount of $117,900 in 'Other' funding and $1,071,309 in RPTTF, totaling $1,189,209 is not allowed at this time. HSC section 34176 (e) (6) (B) specifies loans to the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund (LMIHF) shall not be made prior to the 2013-14 fiscal year. This includes loans from the LMIHF to SERAF. While ROPS 13-14A technically falls within fiscal year 2013-14, the repayment of these loaned amounts is subject to the repayment formula outlined in HSC section 34176 (e) (6) (B).

  HSC section 34176 (e) (6) (B) allows this repayment to be equal to one-half of the increase between the ROPS residual pass-through distributed to the taxing entities in that fiscal year and the ROPS residual pass-through distributed to the taxing entities in the 2012-13 base year. Since the formula does not allow for estimates, the Agency must wait until the ROPS residual pass-through distributions are known for fiscal year 2013-14 before requesting funding for this obligation. Therefore, the Agency may be able to request funding for the repayment of LMIHF loans beginning with ROPS 14-15A.

- Item No. 30 – City Loan Repayment in the amount of $3,396,270 is not allowed at this time. The Agency received a Finding of Completion on April 26, 2013. As such, the Agency may place loan agreements between the former redevelopment agency and sponsoring entity on the ROPS, as an enforceable obligation, provided the oversight board makes a finding that the loan was for legitimate redevelopment purposes per HSC section 34191.4 (b) (1). However, HSC section 34176 (e) (6) (B) specifies loan or

deferral repayments to the LMIHF shall not be made prior to the 2013-14 fiscal year. While ROPS 13-14B falls within fiscal year 2013-14, the repayment of these City loans is subject to the repayment formula outlined in HSC section 34191.4 (b) (2) (A).

HSC section 34191.4 (b) (2) (A) allows this repayment to be equal to one-half of the increase between the ROPS residual pass-through distributed to the taxing entities in that fiscal year and the ROPS residual pass-through distributed to the taxing entities in the 2012-13 base year. Since the formula does not allow for estimates, the Agency must wait until the ROPS residual pass-through distributions are known for fiscal year 2013-14 before requesting funding for this obligation. Therefore, this item is not eligible for funding at this time.

During our review, which may have included obtaining financial records, Finance determined the Agency possesses funds that are required to be used prior to requesting RPTTF. Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E), RPTTF may be used as a funding source, but only to the extent no other funding source is available or when payment from property tax revenues is required by an enforceable obligation. The Agency provided financial records that displayed available balances under the funding source of 'Other' in the amount of $117,900 for Item No. 29, which is denied. Therefore $89,073 of the now available balance has been applied below.

- Item No. 13 – Successor Agency Administrative/Operational Costs in the amount of $89,073. The Agency requests $89,073 of RPTTF; however Finance is reclassifying the entire amount to funding source 'Other'. This item was determined to be an enforceable obligation for the ROPS 13-14B period. However, the obligation does not require payment from property tax revenues and the Agency has $89,073 in 'Other' funds. Therefore, Finance is approving 'Other' funds in the amount of $89,073.

Pursuant to HSC Section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 13-14B form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the January through June 2013 period. HSC Section 34186 (a) also specifies that the prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. The amount of RPTTF approved in the table below includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the CAC's audit of the Agency's self-reported prior period adjustment.

Except for the items denied in whole or in part as enforceable obligations or for the items that have been reclassified, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 13-14B. If you disagree with the determination with respect to any items on your ROPS 13-14B, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is zero as summarized below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution Amount For the period of January through June 2014		
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations		3,095,659
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations		89,073
Total RPTTF requested for obligations	**$**	**3,184,732**
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations		**3,095,659**
Denied Items		
Item No. 29		(1,071,309)
Item No. 30		(2,024,350)
		(3,095,659)
Total RPTTF approved for non-administrative obligations		**0**
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations		**89,073**
Reclassified Items		
Item No. 13		(89,073)
Total RPTTF for administrative obligations		**0**
Total RPTTF approved for obligations		**0**
ROPS III prior period adjustment (PPA)		(980,926)
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	**$**	**(980,926)**

Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E), agencies are required to use all available funding sources prior to RPTTF for payment of enforceable obligations. Beginning with the ROPS 13-14B period, Finance required successor agencies to identify fund balances for various types of funds in its possession. During our ROPS 13-14B review, Finance requested financial records to support the fund balances reported by the Agency; however, Finance was unable to reconcile the financial records to the amounts reported. As a result, Finance will continue to work with the Agency after the ROPS 13-14B review period to properly identify the Agency's fund balances. If it is determined the Agency possesses fund balances that are available to pay approved obligations, the Agency should request the use of these fund balances prior to requesting RPTTF in ROPS 14-15A.

Please refer to the ROPS 13-14B schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS 13-14B Forms by Successor Agency/.

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for January 1 through June 30, 2014. This determination applies only to items where funding was requested for the six month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c)(2)(B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Kylie Le, Supervisor or Michael Barr, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,

JUSTYN HOWARD
Assistant Program Budget Manager

cc: Mr. Ken Lee, Consultant, City of Irwindale
Ms. Kristina Burns, Manager, Los Angeles County Department of Auditor-Controller
California State Controller's Office