



October 23, 2013

Mr. Ronney Wong, Finance Director
City of Fowler
128 South 5th Street
Fowler, CA 93625

Dear Mr. Wong:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Fowler Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 13-14B) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on September 9, 2013 for the period of January through June 2014. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 13-14B, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

HSC section 34171 (d) defines enforceable obligations. Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, the following do not qualify as an enforceable obligation for the reasons specified:

- Claimed administrative costs exceed the allowance by \$37,500. HSC section 34171 (b) limits the fiscal year 2013-14 administrative expenses to three percent of property tax allocated to the Agency or \$250,000, whichever is greater. The County Auditor-Controller's Office distributed \$162,500 for administrative costs for the July through December 2013 period, thus leaving a balance of \$87,500 available for the January through June 2014 period. Although \$125,000 is claimed for administrative costs, only \$87,500 is available pursuant to the cap. Therefore, \$37,500 of excess administrative cost is not allowed.
- Finance has reviewed the Agency's self-reported amounts in the prior period adjustment schedule. Based on our review, Finance is adjusting the prior period adjustment amount from \$123,598 to \$139,340. The Agency was authorized for, and subsequently received, \$242,731 for January through June 2013 expenditures. According to self-reported information, the Agency only spent \$115,277, of which \$11,886 was applied to Item No. 5 – Legal Costs, which Finance reclassified as an administrative cost.

Pursuant to HSC Section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the January through June 2013 period. The amount of RPTTF approved in the table below includes the prior period adjustment as adjusted by Finance based on amounts self-reported by the Agency. HSC Section 34186 (a) also specifies that the prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller.

Any proposed CAC adjustments were not received in time for inclusion in this letter. Therefore, the amount of RPTTF approved in the table below includes only the prior period adjustment that was self-reported by the Agency.

Except for the item denied in whole or in part as enforceable obligation, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 13-14B. If you disagree with the determination with respect to any items on your ROPS 13-14B, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is zero as summarized below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution Amount For the period of January through June 2014	
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	28,850
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	125,000
Total RPTTF requested for obligations	\$ 153,850
Total RPTTF approved for non-administrative obligations	28,850
Total RPTTF allowable for administrative obligations (see Admin Cost Cap table below)	87,500
Total RPTTF approved for obligations	116,350
Self-Reported ROPS III prior period adjustment (PPA)	(123,598)
Adjustment to ROPS III PPA	(15,742)
Total ROPS III PPA	(139,340)
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	\$ (22,990)
Administrative Cost Cap Calculation	
Total RPTTF for 13-14A (July through December 2013)	63,500
Total RPTTF for 13-14B (January through June 2014)	28,850
Total RPTTF for fiscal year 2013-14	92,350
Allowable administrative cost for fiscal year 2013-14 (Greater of 3% or \$250,000)	250,000
Administrative allowance for 13-14A (July through December 2013)	162,500
Allowable RPTTF distribution for administrative cost for ROPS 13-14B	87,500

Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E), agencies are required to use all available funding sources prior to RPTTF for payment of enforceable obligations. Beginning with the ROPS 13-14B period, Finance required successor agencies to identify fund balances for various types of funds in its possession. During our ROPS 13-14B review, Finance requested financial records to support the fund balances reported by the Agency; however, Finance was unable to reconcile the financial records to the amounts reported. As a result, Finance will continue to work with the Agency after the ROPS 13-14B review period to properly identify the Agency's fund balances. If it is determined the Agency possesses fund balances that are available to pay approved obligations, the Agency should request the use of these fund balances prior to requesting RPTTF in ROPS 14-15A.

Please refer to the ROPS 13-14B schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

<http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS 13-14B Forms by Successor Agency/>.

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for January 1 through June 30, 2014. This determination applies only to items where funding was requested for the six month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c) (2) (B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Nichelle Thomas, Supervisor or Alex Watt, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



JUSTYN HOWARD
Assistant Program Budget Manager

cc: Mr. David Elias, City Manager, City of Fowler
Mr. George Gomez, Accounting Financial Manager, Fresno County
California State Controller's Office