



November 13, 2013

Mr. Mario Krstic, Farmersville Chief of Police
City of Farmersville
909 W Visalia Rd
Farmersville, CA 93223

Dear Mr. Krstic:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Farmersville Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 13-14B) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on September 30, 2013 for the period of January through June 2014. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 13-14B, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

Based on our review, we are approving all of the items listed on your ROPS 13-14B at this time.

Pursuant to HSC Section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the January through June 2013 period. The amount of RPTTF approved in the table below includes the prior period adjustment that was self-reported by the Agency. HSC Section 34186 (a) also specifies that the prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. Any proposed CAC adjustments were not received in time for inclusion in this letter. Therefore, the amount of RPTTF approved in the table below includes only the prior period adjustment that was self-reported by the Agency with the following Finance adjustment.

- The ROPS 13-14B review template was set to compute the prior period adjustment (PPA) on a line-item basis. Finance reviewed the PPA for the administrative costs to ensure the adjustment is made only if the Agency's total administrative expenses were under the total authorized administrative allowance. Therefore, the ROPS III prior period adjustment for administrative cost was reduced by \$519. This adjustment was necessary to account for the administrative costs approved by Finance and to recognize the three percent, or \$250,000 administrative cost allowance authorized by HSC section 34171 (b).

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$169,017 as summarized below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution Amount For the period of January through June 2014	
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	175,328
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	13,350
Total Requested RPTTF	\$ 188,678
Total RPTTF approved for non-administrative obligations	175,328
Total RPTTF approved for administrative obligations	13,350
Total RPTTF approved for obligations	\$ 188,678
Self-reported ROPS III prior period adjustment (PPA)	(20,180)
Adjustment to ROPS III PPA	519
Total ROPS III PPA	(19,661)
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	\$ 169,017

Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (I) (1) (E), agencies are required to use all available funding sources prior to RPTTF for payment of enforceable obligations. Beginning with the ROPS 13-14B period, Finance required successor agencies to identify fund balances for various types of funds in its possession. During our ROPS 13-14B review, Finance requested financial records to support the fund balances reported by the Agency; however, Finance was unable to reconcile the financial records to the amounts reported. As a result, Finance will continue to work with the Agency after the ROPS 13-14B review period to properly identify the Agency's fund balances. If it is determined the Agency possesses fund balances that are available to pay approved obligations, the Agency should request the use of these fund balances prior to requesting RPTTF in ROPS 14-15A.

Please refer to the ROPS 13-14B schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

[http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS 13-14B Forms by Successor Agency/](http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS%2013-14B%20Forms%20by%20Successor%20Agency/).

This is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for January 1 through June 30, 2014. This determination applies only to items where funding was requested for the six month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c)(2)(B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Mr. Mario Krstic
November 13, 2013
Page 3

Please direct inquiries to Beliz Chappuie, Supervisor or Todd Vermillion, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



JUSTYN HOWARD
Assistant Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Betina Ashoori, Finance Manager, City of Farmersville
Ms. Rita A Woodard, Auditor-Controller, Tulare County
California State Controller's Office