



November 14, 2013

Mr. Kevin Radecki, City Manager
City of Industry
15625 East Stafford Street
City of Industry, CA 91744

Dear Mr. Radecki:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Industry Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 13-14B) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on September 30, 2013 for the period of January through June 2014. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 13-14B, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

HSC section 34171 (d) defines enforceable obligations. Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, the following do not qualify as enforceable obligations for the reasons specified:

- Item Nos. 264, 265, 1,122, and 1,123 – Finance has determined the Industry East Industrial Park 1999 Lease Agreement in the amount of \$49,800,000 and the Industry Business Center 2005 Lease Agreement in the amount of \$172,000,000 are enforceable obligations. The Agency was instructed to place the 1999 and 2005 Lease Agreements on a previous ROPS for further review per our February 20, 2013 letter. While Finance has concluded the 1999 and 2005 Lease Agreements are enforceable obligations, the individual projects associated with the leases are listed on multiple line items on the ROPS. Therefore the leases listed as line item Nos. 264, 265, 1,122, and 1,123 are no longer needed and are considered duplicates.

Pursuant to HSC Section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 13-14B form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the January through June 2013 period. HSC Section 34186 (a) also specifies that the prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. The amount of RPTTF approved in the below table includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the CAC's audit of the Agency's self-reported prior period adjustment.

Except for the items denied in whole or in part as enforceable obligations, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 13-14B. If you disagree with the determination with respect to any items on your ROPS 13-14B, you may request a Meet and

Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$39,139,437 as summarized below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution Amount	
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	38,006,737
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	1,139,450
Total Requested RPTTF	\$ 39,146,187
Total RPTTF approved for non-administrative obligations	38,006,737
Total RPTTF approved for administrative obligations	1,139,450
Total RPTTF approved for obligations	\$ 39,146,187
ROPS III prior period adjustment	(6,750)
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	\$ 39,139,437

Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E), agencies are required to use all available funding sources prior to RPTTF for payment of enforceable obligations. Beginning with the ROPS 13-14B period, Finance required successor agencies to identify fund balances for various types of funds in its possession. During our ROPS 13-14B review, Finance requested financial records to support the fund balances reported by the Agency; however, Finance was unable to reconcile the financial records to the amounts reported. As a result, Finance will continue to work with the Agency after the ROPS 13-14B review period to properly identify the Agency's fund balances. If it is determined the Agency possesses fund balances that are available to pay approved obligations, the Agency should request the use of these fund balances prior to requesting RPTTF in ROPS 14-15A.

Please refer to the ROPS 13-14B schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

[http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS 13-14B Forms by Successor Agency/](http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS_13-14B_Forms_by_Successor_Agency/).

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for January 1 through June 30, 2014. This determination applies only to items where funding was requested for the six month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the

Mr. Kevin Radecki
November 14, 2013
Page 3

ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c)(2)(B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Kylie Le, Supervisor or Brian Dunham, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'J. Howard', with a long horizontal stroke extending to the left.

JUSTYN HOWARD
Assistant Program Budget Manager

cc: Mr. Dean Yamagata, Contracted Finance Manager, City of Industry
Ms. Kristina Burns, Manager, Los Angeles County Department of Auditor-Controller
California State Controller's Office