



December 17, 2013

Ms. Jan Sprague, Admin Secretary
California City
21000 Hacienda Boulevard
California City, CA 93505

Dear Ms. Sprague:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

This letter supersedes the California Department of Finance's (Finance) Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) letter dated November 6, 2013. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the California City Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 13-14B) to Finance on September 27, 2013, for the period of January through June 2014. Finance issued a ROPS determination letter on November 6, 2013. Subsequently, the Agency requested a Meet and Confer session on one or more of the items denied by Finance. The Meet and Confer session was held on November 19, 2013.

Based on a review of additional information and documentation provided to Finance during the Meet and Confer process, Finance has completed its review of the specific items being disputed.

- Item No. 9 – Supplemental Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund (SERAF) loan payment in the amount of \$123,125. Finance continues to deny this item. During the Meet and Confer, the Agency contends Finance's interpretation of HSC section 34176 is contrary to the language of the statute because it specifically authorizes repayment to commence in the 2013-14 fiscal year. Finance maintains that SERAF loan repayments shall not be made prior to the 2013-14 fiscal year pursuant to HSC section 34191.4 (b) (2) (A). Although ROPS 13-14B technically falls within fiscal year 2013-14, the repayment of the SERAF loan is subject to the repayment formula outlined in HSC section 34191.4 (b) (2) (A). HSC section 34191.4 (b) (2) (A) allows the repayment of loans to be equal to one-half of the increase between the ROPS residual pass-through distributed to the taxing entities in that fiscal year and the ROPS residual pass-through distributed to the taxing entities in the 2012-13 base year. Since the formula does not allow for estimates, the Agency must wait until the ROPS residual pass-through distributions are known for fiscal year 2013-14 before requesting funding for this obligation. The Agency may be able to request funding for the repayment of this SERAF loan beginning with ROPS 14-15A.

In addition, per Finance's letter dated November 6, 2013, we continue to deny the following items not contested by the Agency during the Meet and Confer:

- Claimed administrative costs exceed the allowance by \$34,515. HSC section 34171 (b) limits fiscal year 2013-14 administrative expenses to three percent of property tax allocated to the successor agency or \$250,000, whichever is greater. As a result, the Agency is eligible for \$250,000 in administrative expenses for the fiscal year. The Kern County Auditor-Controller's Office did not distribute administrative costs for the July through December 2013 (ROPS 13-14A) period, thus leaving a balance of \$250,000 available for the ROPS 13-14B period. However, the Agency obtained a loan from the City to cover the ROPS 13-14A administrative shortfall and is requesting to repay the City \$125,000 in non-administrative RPTTF for line Item No. 14 on this ROPS. Therefore, only \$125,000 is available for the ROPS 13-14B period. The Agency is requesting \$159,515 in administrative expenses for the ROPS 13-14B period. As a result, \$34,515 of excess administrative cost is not allowed.

Pursuant to HSC Section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the January through June 2013 period. The amount of RPTTF approved in the table below includes the prior period adjustment that was self-reported by the Agency. HSC Section 34186 (a) also specifies that the prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. Any proposed CAC adjustments were not received in time for inclusion in this letter. Therefore, the amount of RPTTF approved in the table below includes only the prior period adjustment that was self-reported by the Agency.

Except for the item denied in whole or in part as enforceable obligation, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 13-14B. The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$1,066,082 as summarized in the table on the following page:

| Approved RPTTF Distribution Amount For the period of January through June 2014 | |
|--|---------------------|
| Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations | 1,064,207 |
| Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations | 159,515 |
| Total RPTTF requested for obligations | \$ 1,223,722 |
| Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations | 1,064,207 |
| <u>Denied Items</u> | |
| Item No. 9 | (123,125) |
| Total RPTTF approved for non-administrative obligations | 941,082 |
| Total RPTTF for administrative obligations | 159,515 |
| Total RPTTF allowable for administrative obligations (see Admin Cost Cap table below) | 125,000 |
| Total RPTTF approved for obligations | 1,066,082 |
| ROPS III prior period adjustment | 0 |
| Total RPTTF approved for distribution | \$ 1,066,082 |
| Administrative Cost Cap Calculation | |
| Total RPTTF for 13-14A (July through December 2013) | 1,043,022 |
| Total RPTTF for 13-14B (January through June 2014) | 941,082 |
| ROPS 13-14B repayment of City loan for ROPS 13-14A admin | (125,000) |
| Total RPTTF for fiscal year 2013-14 | 1,859,104 |
| Allowable administrative cost for fiscal year 2013-14 (Greater of 3% or \$250,000) | 250,000 |
| Administrative allowance for 13-14A (July through December 2013) | 125,000 |
| Allowable RPTTF distribution for administrative cost for ROPS 13-14B | 125,000 |

Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (I) (1) (E), agencies are required to use all available funding sources prior to RPTTF for payment of enforceable obligations. Beginning with the ROPS 13-14B period, Finance required successor agencies to identify fund balances for various types of funds in its possession. During our ROPS 13-14B review, Finance requested financial records to support the fund balances reported by the Agency; however, Finance was unable to reconcile the financial records to the amounts reported. As a result, Finance will continue to work with the Agency after the ROPS 13-14B review period to properly identify the Agency's fund balances. If it is determined the Agency possesses fund balances that are available to pay approved obligations, the Agency should request the use of these fund balances prior to requesting RPTTF in ROPS 14-15A.

Please refer to the ROPS 13-14B schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

[http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS 13-14B Forms by Successor Agency/](http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS_13-14B_Forms_by_Successor_Agency/).

This is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for January 1 through June 30, 2014. This determination applies only to items where funding was requested for the six month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed

on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c)(2)(B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Evelyn Suess, Dispute Resolution Supervisor or Derk Symons, Analyst, at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



JUSTYN HOWARD
Assistant Program Budget Manager

cc: Mr. Tom Weil, City Manager, California City
Ms. Mary B Bedard, Auditor-Controller, Kern County
California State Controller's Office