



April 14, 2013

Mr. Ken Duran, Assistant City Manager
City of San Dimas
245 East Bonita Avenue
San Dimas, CA 91773

Dear Mr. Duran:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of San Dimas Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 13-14A) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on March 1, 2013 for the period of July through December 2013. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 13-14A, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

HSC section 34171 (d) defines enforceable obligations. Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, the following do not qualify as enforceable obligation(s):

- Item No. 7 – Loan between the City of San Dimas (City) and the Agency totaling \$2.1 million is not an enforceable obligation at this time. It is our understanding per the Agenda Item Staff Report and City of San Dimas minutes dated June 9, 2009, the City Council approved loans from the General Fund 01 and Walker House LLC Fund to the SDR Fund 30. HSC section 34171 (d) (2) states that agreements, contracts, or arrangements between the city that created the RDA and the former RDA are not enforceable, unless issued within two years of the RDA's creation date or for issuance of indebtedness to third-party investors or bondholders. This loan was issued after the first two years of the former RDA's creation and is not associated with the issuance of debt. Therefore, this item is not an enforceable obligation. Upon receiving a Finding of Completion from Finance and after the oversight board makes a finding the loan was for legitimate redevelopment purposes, HSC section 34191.4 (b) may cause these items to be enforceable in future ROPS periods.
- Item No. 8 – Repayment to housing fund for SERAF in the amount of \$1.3 million is not allowed at this time. HSC section 34176 (e) (6) (B) specifies loan or deferral repayments to the LMIHF shall not be made prior to the 2013-14 fiscal year. While ROPS 13-14A technically falls within fiscal year 2013-14, the repayment of these deferred amounts is subject to the repayment formula outlined in HSC section 34176 (e) (6) (B).

HSC section 34176 (e) (6) (B) allows this repayment to be equal to one-half of the increase between the ROPS residual pass-through distributed to the taxing entities in that fiscal year and the ROPS residual pass-through distributed to the taxing entities in

the 2012-13 base year. Since the formula does not allow for estimates, the Agency must wait until the ROPS residual pass-through distributions are known for fiscal year 2013-14 before requesting funding for this obligation. Therefore, the Agency may be able to request funding for the repayment of housing deferred set-aside loans beginning with ROPS 14-15A.

- Item Nos. 16 and 17 – Monte Vista and Grove Station maintenance and operations in the amount of \$97,775. It is our understanding these items are for maintenance and operation expenses of low and moderate income housing properties. HSC section 34176 (a) (1) states if a city, county, or city and county elects to retain the authority to perform housing functions previously performed by a RDA, all rights, powers, duties, obligations, and housing assets shall be transferred to the city, county, or city and county. Since the City of San Dimas assumed the housing functions, the administrative costs associated with these functions are the responsibility of the housing successor. Therefore, these items are not enforceable obligations and not eligible for funding on the ROPS.

Except for items denied in whole or in part as enforceable obligations, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 13-14A. This determination applies only to items where funding was requested for the six month period. If you disagree with the determination with respect to any items on your ROPS 13-14A, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance’s website below:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/

The Agency’s maximum approved Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) distribution for the reporting period is \$979,443 as summarized below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution Amount	
For the period of July through December 2013	
Total RPTTF funding requested for obligations	\$ 1,523,406
Minus: Six-month total for items denied or reclassified as administrative cost	
Item 7	264,940
Item 8	417,110
Total approved RPTTF for enforceable obligations	<u>\$ 841,356</u>
Plus: Allowable RPTTF distribution for ROPS 13-14A administrative cost	<u>140,000</u>
Minus: ROPS II prior period adjustment	<u>(1,913)</u>
Total RPTTF approved for distribution:	\$ 979,443

Pursuant to HSC Section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 13-14A form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the July through December 2012 period. HSC Section 34186 (a) also specifies that the prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. The amount of RPTTF approved in the above table includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the CAC’s audit of the Agency’s self-reported prior period adjustment.

Please refer to the ROPS 13-14A schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS_13-14A_Forms_by_Successor_Agency/.

This is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for July 1 through December 31, 2013. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c)(2)(B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Kylie Le, Supervisor or Brian Dunham, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



STEVE SZALAY
Local Government Consultant

cc: Ms. Barbara Bishop, Finance Manager, City of San Dimas
Ms. Kristina Burns, Manager, Los Angeles County Department of Auditor-Controller
California State Controller's Office