



May 17, 2013

Ms. Allison Carlos, Principal Management Analyst
County of Placer
175 Fulweiler Avenue
Auburn, CA 95603

Dear Ms. Last Carlos:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

This letter supersedes Finance's Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) letter dated April 6, 2013. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the County of Placer Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 13-14A) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on February 20, 2013, for the period of July through December 2013. Subsequently, the Agency requested a Meet and Confer session on one or more of the items denied by Finance. The Meet and Confer session was held on May 7, 2013.

Based on a review of additional information and documentation provided to Finance during the Meet and Confer process, Finance has completed its review of the specific items being disputed.

- Item No. 37 – Quartz Ridge Housing DDA in the amount of \$40,000. Finance no longer denies this item. Finance's Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund Due Diligence Review determination letter dated January 17, 2013, stated that the Quartz Ridge Housing DDA project was approved as an enforceable obligation and Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) funding up to \$353,032 may be requested to fund this obligation on a future ROPS. The Agency has requested and been approved for funding up to \$353,032 in ROPS 13-14A. The additional \$40,000 is the cost of staff time related to project delivery to complete the project. HSC section 34171 (b) allows employee costs associated with work on specific project implementation activities, including, but not limited to, construction inspection, project management, or actual construction, to be considered project-specific costs, rather than administrative costs. Therefore, this is an enforceable obligation and eligible for RPTTF funding on the ROPS.

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is: \$2,393,271 as summarized below:

| Approved RPTTF Distribution Amount | |
|--|---------------------|
| For the period of July through December 2013 | |
| Total RPTTF funding requested for obligations | \$ 2,522,871 |
| Minus: Six-month total for items denied or reclassified as administrative cost | |
| Total approved RPTTF for enforceable obligations | \$ 2,522,871 |
| Plus: Allowable RPTTF distribution for ROPS 13-14A administrative cost | 125,000 |
| Minus: ROPS II prior period adjustment | (254,600) |
| Total RPTTF approved for distribution: | \$ 2,393,271 |

Pursuant to HSC Section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 13-14A form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the July through December 2012 period. HSC Section 34186 (a) also specifies that the prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the County Auditor Controller (CAC) and the State Controller. The amount of RPTTF approved in the above table includes the prior period adjustment that was self-reported by the Agency and the prior period adjustment resulting from the CAC's audit of the Agency's self-reported prior period adjustment. Please refer to the worksheet used by the CAC to determine the audited prior period adjustment for the Agency:

<http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/view.php>

Please refer to the ROPS 13-14A schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

[http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS 13-14A Forms by Successor Agency/](http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS%2013-14A%20Forms%20by%20Successor%20Agency/)

This is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for July 1 through December 31, 2013. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010, exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c)(2)(B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Ms. Allison Carlos
May 17, 2013
Page 3

Please direct inquiries to Evelyn Suess, Dispute Resolution Supervisor, or Mary Halterman, Analyst, at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



STEVE SZALAY
Local Government Consultant

cc: Ms. Rae James, Director, County of Placer
Ms. Jayne Goulding, Managing Accountant Auditor, Placer County
California State Controller's Office