



April 6, 2013

Ms. Allison Carlos, Principal Management Analyst
County of Placer
175 Fulweiler Avenue
Auburn, CA 95603

Dear Ms. Last Carlos:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the County of Placer Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 13-14A) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on February 20, 2013 for the period of July through December 2013. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 13-14A, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

HSC section 34171 (d) defines enforceable obligations. Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, the following do not qualify as enforceable obligations:

- Item No. 37 – Quartz Ridge Housing DDA in the amount of \$40,000. Finance's Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund Due Diligence Review determination letter dated January 17, 2013 stated that the Quartz Ridge Housing DDA project was approved as an enforceable obligation, and RPTTF funding up to \$353,032 may be requested to fund this obligation on a future Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS). The Agency has requested and been approved for funding up to \$353,032 in ROPS 13-14A for the period of July through December 2013. The additional \$40,000 is project delivery loan administration costs which are the Housing Successor entity's obligation. HSC section 34176 (a)(1) states if a city or county elects to retain the authority to perform housing functions previously performed by a redevelopment agency (RDA), all rights, powers, duties, obligations, and housing assets shall be transferred to the city as the housing successor. Since the County of Placer assumed the housing functions of the RDA, all housing administrative and operating costs associated with these functions are the responsibility of the housing successor. Therefore, Agency's request for funding is not allowed.

Except for the items denied in whole or in part as enforceable obligations, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 13-14A. This determination applies only to items where funding was requested for the six month period. If you disagree with the determination with respect to any items on your ROPS 13-14A, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/

The Agency's maximum approved Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) distribution for the reporting period is: \$2,353,271 as summarized below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution Amount	
For the period of July through December 2013	
Total RPTTF funding requested for obligations	\$ 2,522,871
Minus: Six-month total for items denied or reclassified as administrative cost	
Item 37	40,000
Total approved RPTTF for enforceable obligations	\$ 2,482,871
Plus: Allowable RPTTF distribution for ROPS 13-14A administrative cost	125,000
Minus: ROPS II prior period adjustment	(254,600)
Total RPTTF approved for distribution:	\$ 2,353,271

Pursuant to HSC Section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 13-14A form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the July through December 2012 period. HSC Section 34186 (a) also specifies that the prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. The amount of RPTTF approved in the above table includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the CAC's audit of the Agency's self-reported prior period adjustment.

Please refer to the ROPS 13-14A schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

[http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS 13-14A Forms by Successor Agency/](http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS%2013-14A%20Forms%20by%20Successor%20Agency/).

This is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for July 1 through December 31, 2013. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c)(2)(B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Ms. Allison Carlos
April 6, 2013
Page 3

Please direct inquiries to Beliz Chappuie, Supervisor or Todd Vermillion, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Steve Szalay', with a long horizontal stroke extending to the left.

STEVE SZALAY
Local Government Consultant

cc: Ms. Rae James, Director, County of Placer
Ms. Jayne Goulding, Managing Accountant Auditor, Placer County
California State Controller's Office