



March 15, 2013

Ms. Angela Freitas, Deputy Director
Stanislaus County Planning Department
1010 10th Street, Suite 3400
Modesto, CA 95354

Dear Ms. Freitas:

Subject: Other Funds and Accounts Due Diligence Review

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34179.6 (c), the Stanislaus County Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an oversight board approved Other Funds and Accounts (OFA) Due Diligence Review (DDR) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on January 10, 2013. The purpose of the review was to determine the amount of cash and cash equivalents available for distribution to the affected taxing entities. Pursuant to HSC section 34179.6 (d), Finance has completed its review of your DDR, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

HSC section 34179.6 (d) authorizes Finance to adjust the DDR's stated balance of OFA available for distribution to the taxing entities. Based on our review of your DDR, the following adjustments were made:

- Balances requested to be retained due to legal restrictions in the amount of \$6,858,153 is partially denied. The Stanislaus County Department of Public Works Agreement dated June 20, 2011 was denied by Finance in our letter dated May 25, 2012. This item was denied again in the January through June 2013 Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS III) review and upheld through the Meet and Confer process. Refer to Finance's December 18, 2012 letter. Finance continues to deny this agreement as an enforceable obligation. Therefore, the OFA balances available for distribution to the taxing entities will be adjusted by \$5,375,926.
- Balances requested to be retained for fiscal year 2012-13 will be adjusted by \$526,032. The DDR requests to retain \$1,856,865 for fiscal year 2012-13 obligations. July through December 2012 ROPS II approved obligations totaled \$1,330,833. Since these items are approved on the ROPS II, the Agency is allowed to retain funding for these 2012-13 fiscal year obligations. However, the Agency also requested to retain \$526,032 of January through June 2013 ROPS III expenditures that were approved with Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) funding. Since the County Auditor Controller distributed RPTTF for approved ROPS III obligations on January 2, 2013, after the June 30, 2012 OFA balances delineated in the DDR, it is inappropriate for the Agency to retain current OFA balances for obligations that have

already been funded through a separate process. Therefore, the OFA balances available for distribution to the taxing entities will be adjusted by \$526,032.

If you disagree with Finance's adjusted amount of OFA balances available for distribution to the taxing entities, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/

The Agency's OFA balance available for distribution to the affected taxing entities is \$5,901,958 (see table below).

OFA Balances Available For Distribution To Taxing Entities	
Available Balance per DDR:	\$ -
Finance Adjustments	
Add:	
Denied ROPS item	5,375,926
Requested retained balance not supported:	526,032
Total OFA available to be distributed:	\$ 5,901,958

Absent a Meet and Confer request, HSC section 34179.6 (f) requires successor agencies to transmit to the county auditor-controller the amount of funds identified in the above table within five working days, plus any interest those sums accumulated while in the possession of the recipient. Upon submission of payment, it is requested you provide proof of payment to Finance within five business days.

If funds identified for transmission are in the possession of the successor agency, and if the successor agency is operated by the city or county that created the former redevelopment agency, then failure to transmit the identified funds may result in offsets to the city's or the county's sales and use tax allocation, as well as its property tax allocation. If funds identified for transmission are in the possession of another taxing entity, the successor agency is required to take diligent efforts to recover such funds. A failure to recover and remit those funds may result in offsets to the other taxing entity's sales and use tax allocation or to its property tax allocation. If funds identified for transmission are in the possession of a private entity, HSC 34179.6 (h) (1) (B) states that any remittance related to unallowable transfers to a private party may also be subject to a 10 percent penalty if not remitted within 60 days.

Failure to transmit the identified funds will also prevent the Agency from being able to receive a finding of completion from Finance. Without a finding of completion, the Agency will be unable to take advantage of the provisions detailed in HSC section 34191.4. Specifically, these provisions allow certain loan agreements between the former redevelopment agency (RDA) and the city, county, or city and county that created the RDA to be considered enforceable obligations. These provisions also allow certain bond proceeds to be used for the purposes in which they were sold and allows for the transfer of real property and interests into the Community Redevelopment Property Trust Fund once Finance approves the Agency's long-range property management plan.


In addition to the consequences above, willful failure to return assets that were deemed an unallowable transfer or failure to remit the funds identified above could expose certain individuals to criminal penalties under existing law.

Ms. Angela Freitas
March 15, 2013
Page 3

Pursuant to HSC section 34167.5 and 34178.8, the California State Controller's Office (Controller) has the authority to claw back assets that were inappropriately transferred to the city, county, or any other public agency. Determinations outlined in this letter do not in any way eliminate the Controller's authority.

Please direct inquiries to Wendy Griffe, Supervisor or Jenny DeAngelis, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



STEVE SZALAY
Local Government Consultant

cc: Ms. Lauren Klein, CPA, Auditor-Controller, Stanislaus County
Ms. Marianne Rucker, Manager, Stanislaus County
California State Controller's Office