



September 20, 2013

Ms. Vanessa Martinez, Assistant Finance Director  
City of Adelanto  
11600 Air Expressway  
Adelanto, CA 92301

Dear Ms. Martinez:

Subject: Other Funds and Accounts Due Diligence Review

The City of Adelanto Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an oversight board approved Other Funds and Accounts (OFA) Due Diligence Review (DDR) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on July 8, 2013. The purpose of the review was to determine the amount of cash and cash equivalents available for distribution to the affected taxing entities. Since the Agency did not meet the January 15, 2013 submittal deadline pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34179.6 (c), Finance is not bound to completing its review and making a determination by the April 1, 2013 deadline pursuant to HSC section 34179.6 (d). However, Finance has completed its review of your DDR, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

HSC section 34179.6 (d) authorizes Finance to adjust the DDR's stated balance of OFA available for distribution to the taxing entities. Based on our review of your DDR, the following adjustments were made:

- Our review indicates the total amount of assets held as of June 30, 2012 was overstated by \$515,262. This includes cash held by the fiscal agent for the 2007 Tax Allocation Bonds in the amount of \$2,507, and long term note receivables in the amount of \$512,755. Therefore, the total amount of assets held as of June 30, 2012 has been adjusted by \$515,262. However, these adjustments, to non-cash assets, do not affect the amount available for distribution to the taxing entities.
- The request to restrict account receivable balances totaling \$129,028 is not allowed. Sufficient documentation was not provided to support these receivables as non-cash or cash equivalent items.
- The request to restrict three long term note receivables totaling \$402,415 is not allowed. It is our understanding these loans have matured and are subject to collection at the Agency's discretion.
- The request to retain funds needed to satisfy Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule items for the 2012-13 fiscal year, totaling \$3,049,694, is not allowed. The Agency has received sufficient Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Funds to make the required debt

service payments. HSC section 34183 (b) only allows agency's to reduce the amounts available for distribution to the taxing entities in order to make required debt service payments, and only with the concurrence of the California State Controller's Office (Controller).

Additionally, the Agency has not adequately proven there will be insufficient property tax revenues to pay for these obligations. HSC section 34179.5 (c) (5) (D) requires an extensive analysis before retention of current unencumbered balances can be contemplated. This includes but is not limited to, providing a detail of the projected property tax revenues and other general purpose revenues to be received by the Agency, together with both the amount and timing of the bond debt service payments, for the period in which the oversight board anticipates the Agency will have insufficient property tax revenue to pay the specified obligations. It is not evident the thorough analysis required by HSC section 34179.5 (c) (5) (D) was conducted. Further, it is not evident that future property tax revenue will be insufficient or that there is an immediate need to retain these balances.

Should a deficit occur in the future, HSC provides successor agencies with various methods to address short term cash flow issues. These may include requesting a loan from the city pursuant to HSC section 34173 (h), or subordinating pass-through payments pursuant to HSC section 34183 (b). The Agency should seek counsel from their oversight board to determine the solution most appropriate for their situation if a deficiency were to occur.

Finally, Finance noted assets transferred to the City of Adelanto (City), totaling \$1,802,864, including Land Held for Resale valued at \$1,514,300, and Capital Assets in the amount of \$288,564, were returned to the Agency, because the transfer to the City was not supported by an enforceable obligation or other legal requirement. For DDR purposes, however, the return of these assets will not affect the amount available for distribution to the taxing entities. Instead, the Agency should describe the planned disposition of these assets in its Long Range Property Management Plan as required by HSC section 34191.5.

If you disagree with Finance's adjusted amount of OFA balances available for distribution to the taxing entities, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

[http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet\\_and\\_confer/](http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/)

The Agency's OFA balance available for distribution to the affected taxing entities is \$2,594,055 (see table below).

<b>OFA Balances Available For Distribution To Taxing Entities</b>	
Available Balance per DDR:	\$ (987,082)
Finance Adjustments	
Add:	
Requested restricted balances not allowed	531,443
Requested retained balances not allowed	3,049,694
<b>Total OFA available to be distributed:</b>	<b>\$ 2,594,055</b>

Absent a Meet and Confer request, HSC section 34179.6 (f) requires successor agencies to transmit to the county auditor-controller the amount of funds identified in the above table within five working days, plus any interest those sums accumulated while in the possession of the recipient. Upon submission of payment, please provide proof of payment to Finance within five business days.

If funds identified for transmission are in the possession of the successor agency, and if the successor agency is operated by the city or county that created the former redevelopment agency, then failure to transmit the identified funds may result in offsets to the city's or the county's sales and use tax allocation, as well as its property tax allocation. If funds identified for transmission are in the possession of another taxing entity, the successor agency is required to take diligent efforts to recover such funds. A failure to recover and remit those funds may result in offsets to the other taxing entity's sales and use tax allocation or to its property tax allocation. If funds identified for transmission are in the possession of a private entity, HSC 34179.6 (h) (1) (B) states that any remittance related to unallowable transfers to a private party may also be subject to a 10 percent penalty if not remitted within 60 days.

Failure to transmit the identified funds will also prevent the Agency from being able to receive a finding of completion from Finance. Without a finding of completion, the Agency will be unable to take advantage of the provisions detailed in HSC section 34191.4. Specifically, these provisions allow certain loan agreements between the former redevelopment agency (RDA) and the city, county, or city and county that created the RDA to be considered enforceable obligations. These provisions also allow certain bond proceeds to be used for the purposes in which they were sold and allows for the transfer of real property and interests into the Community Redevelopment Property Trust Fund once Finance approves the Agency's long range property management plan.

In addition to the consequences above, willful failure to return assets that were deemed an unallowable transfer or failure to remit the funds identified above could expose certain individuals to criminal penalties under existing law.

Pursuant to HSC section 34167.5 and 34178.8, the Controller has the authority to claw back assets that were inappropriately transferred to the city, county, or any other public agency. Determinations outlined in this letter do not in any way eliminate the Controller's authority.

Please direct inquiries to Nichelle Thomas, Supervisor or Susana Medina Jackson, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



STEVE SZALAY  
Local Government Consultant

cc: Ms. Onyx Jones, Interim Finance Director  
Ms. Linda Santillano, Property Tax Manager, San Bernardino County  
Mr. Steven Mar, Bureau Chief, Local Government Audit Bureau, California State  
Controller's Office  
California State Controller's Office