



November 9, 2012

Mr. Luke Watson, Senior Planner
City of Temecula
41000 Main Street
Temecula, CA 92589

Dear Mr. Watson:

Subject: Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund Due Diligence Review

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34179.6 (c), the City of Temecula Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an oversight board approved Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund Due Diligence Review (DDR) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on October 15, 2012. The purpose of the review was to determine the amount of cash and cash equivalents available for distribution to the affected taxing entities. Pursuant to HSC section 34179.6 (d), Finance has completed its review of your DDR, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

HSC section 34179.6 (d) authorizes Finance to adjust the DDR's stated balance of Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund (LMIHF) available for distribution to the taxing entities. Based on our review of your DDR, the following adjustments were made:

- Assets legally restricted in the amount of \$4 million. The amount reported in the DDR included the \$4 million in an escrow account. Finance's letter dated August 31, 2012 denied the \$4 million encumbrance related to Front Street Plaza partners on the Housing Asset Transfer (HAT) form. Subsequently, the Agency has requested a Meet and Confer session regarding the disputed parcel and is currently awaiting the results. Therefore, the balance was adjusted for \$4 million.
- Balances retained for enforceable obligations in the amount of \$1,141,528. The DDR lists \$1,308,405 to be retained for Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) for the January through June 2012 period. Our review indicates the actual payments for ROPS for the period January through June 2012 were \$166,877. Therefore, an adjustment has been made for the difference of \$1,141,528.

If you disagree with Finance's adjusted amount of LMIHF balances available for distribution to the taxing entities, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/

The Agency's LMIHF balance available for distribution to the affected taxing entities is \$3,696,034 (see table below). Pursuant to HSC 34179.6 (h) (1) (B), any remittance related to unallowable transfers to a private party may also be subject to a 10 percent penalty if not remitted within 60 days.

Available Balance per DDR:	\$ (1,445,574)
Finance Adjustments	
Add:	
Denied HAT items	4,000,000
Requested retained balance not supported	1,141,608
Total LMIHF available to be distributed:	\$ 3,696,034

Absent a Meet and Confer request, HSC section 34179.6 (f) requires successor agencies to transmit to the county auditor-controller the amount of funds identified in the above table within five working days, plus any interest those sums accumulated while in the possession of the recipient.

If funds identified for transmission are in the possession of the successor agency, and if the successor agency is operated by the city or county that created the former redevelopment agency, then failure to transmit the identified funds may result in offsets to the city's or the county's sales and use tax allocation, as well as its property tax allocation. If funds identified for transmission are in the possession of another taxing entity, that taxing entity's failure to remit those funds may result in offsets to its sales and use tax allocation or to its property tax allocation.

Failure to transmit the identified funds will also prevent the Agency from being able to receive a finding of completion from Finance. Without a finding of completion, the Agency will be unable to take advantage of the provisions detailed in HSC section 34191.4. Specifically, these provisions allow certain loan agreements between the former redevelopment agency (RDA) and the city, county, or city and county that created the RDA to be considered enforceable obligations. These provisions also allow certain bond proceeds to be used for the purposes in which they were sold and allows for the transfer of real property and interests into the Community Redevelopment Property Trust Fund once Finance approves the Agency's long-range property management plan.

In addition to the consequences above, willful failure to return assets that were deemed an unallowable transfer or failure to remit the funds identified above could expose certain individuals to criminal penalties under existing law.

Pursuant to HSC section 34167.5 and 34178.8, the California State Controller's Office (Controller) has the authority to claw back assets that were inappropriately transferred to the city, county, or any other public agency. Determinations outlined in this letter and Finance's Housing Assets Transfer letter dated August 31, 2012 do not in any way eliminate the Controller's authority.

Mr. Luke Watson
November 9, 2012
Page 3

Please direct inquiries to Beliz Chappuie, Supervisor or Mindy Patterson, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



STEVE SZALAY
Local Government Consultant

cc: Mr. Rudy Graciano, Revenue Manager, City of Temecula
Ms. Pam Elias, Chief Accountant Property Tax Division, Riverside County
Auditor Controller
California State Controller's Office