



November 9, 2012

Ms. Marie Marron Berkuti, Finance Manager  
City of Solana Beach  
635 South Highway 101  
Solana Beach, CA 92075

Dear Ms. Berkuti:

**Subject: Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund Due Diligence Review**

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34179.6 (c), the City of Solana Beach Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an oversight board approved Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund Due Diligence Review (DDR) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on October 10, 2012. The purpose of the review was to determine the amount of cash and cash equivalents available for distribution to the affected taxing entities. Pursuant to HSC section 34179.6 (d), Finance has completed its review of your DDR, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

HSC section 34179.6 (d) authorizes Finance to adjust the DDR's stated balance of Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund (LMIHF) available for distribution to the taxing entities. Based on our review of your DDR, the following adjustments were made:

- Balances in the amount of \$193,699 transferred to the City for ROPS January-June 2012 line Item No. 14 and 15. These ROPS line items were denied in our letter to the Agency dated April 26, 2012. Therefore, this amount must be remitted to the county for disbursement to the taxing entities.
- Restricted funds requested to fund future obligations in the amount of \$2,000. This amount is not supported by a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule. Therefore, this amount must be remitted to the county for disbursement to the taxing entities.
- Finance's evaluation of unencumbered LMIHF cash balances as of June 30, 2012 totaled \$257,621. This is \$255,621 greater than LMIHF cash balance stated in the DDR. Documentation was requested to explain the variance but not provided from the Agency. Therefore, this balance will be used to make an adjustment of available cash or cash equivalents and must be remitted to the county for disbursement to the taxing entities.

If you disagree with Finance's adjusted amount of LMIHF balances available for distribution to the taxing entities, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

[http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet\\_and\\_confer/](http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/)

The Agency's LMIHF balance available for distribution to the affected taxing entities is \$451,320 (see table below). Pursuant to HSC 34179.6 (h) (1) (B), any remittance related to unallowable transfers to a private party may also be subject to a 10 percent penalty if not remitted within 60 days.

| <b>LMIHF Balances Available For Distribution To Taxing Entities</b> |                   |
|---|-------------------|
| Available Balance per DDR:  | \$ -              |
| Finance Adjustments   |                   |
| Add:  |                   |
| Denied on ROPS:   | 193,699           |
| Restricted funds not supported by an enforceable obligation:        | 2,000             |
| Requested retained balance not supported by accounting records:     | 255,621           |
| <b>Total LMIHF available to be distributed:</b>                     | <b>\$ 451,320</b> |

Absent a Meet and Confer request, HSC section 34179.6 (f) requires successor agencies to transmit to the county auditor-controller the amount of funds identified in the above table within five working days, plus any interest those sums accumulated while in the possession of the recipient.

If funds identified for transmission are in the possession of the successor agency, and if the successor agency is operated by the city or county that created the former redevelopment agency, then failure to transmit the identified funds may result in offsets to the city's or the county's sales and use tax allocation, as well as its property tax allocation. If funds identified for transmission are in the possession of another taxing entity, that taxing entity's failure to remit those funds may result in offsets to its sales and use tax allocation or to its property tax allocation.

Failure to transmit the identified funds will also prevent the Agency from being able to receive a finding of completion from Finance. Without a finding of completion, the Agency will be unable to take advantage of the provisions detailed in HSC section 34191.4. Specifically, these provisions allow certain loan agreements between the former redevelopment agency (RDA) and the city, county, or city and county that created the RDA to be considered enforceable obligations. These provisions also allow certain bond proceeds to be used for the purposes in which they were sold and allows for the transfer of real property and interests into the Community Redevelopment Property Trust Fund once Finance approves the Agency's long-range property management plan.

In addition to the consequences above, willful failure to return assets that were deemed an unallowable transfer or failure to remit the funds identified above could expose certain individuals to criminal penalties under existing law.

Pursuant to HSC section 34167.5 and 34178.8, the California State Controller's Office (Controller) has the authority to claw back assets that were inappropriately transferred to the city, county, or any other public agency. Determinations outlined in this letter and Finance's Housing Assets Transfer letter dated August 30, 2012 do not in any way eliminate the Controller's authority.

Ms. Berkuti  
November 9, 2012  
Page 3

Please direct inquiries to Robert Scott, Supervisor or Jenny DeAngelis, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Steve Szalay', with a stylized flourish extending to the left.

STEVE SZALAY  
Local Government Consultant

cc: Mr. Juan Perez, Senior Auditor and Controller Manager, San Diego Auditor Controller  
Ms. Nenita DeJesus, Senior Accountant, San Diego Auditor Controller  
California State Controller's Office