



December 15, 2012

Ms. Stephanie Lovette, Economic Development Manager  
City of San Rafael  
1400 Fifth Avenue  
San Rafael, CA 94901

Dear Ms. Lovette:

Subject: Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund Due Diligence Review

This letter supersedes Finance's original Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund (LMIHF) Due Diligence Review (DDR) determination letter dated November 9, 2012. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34179.6 (c), the City of San Rafael Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an oversight board approved LMIHF DDR to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on October 15, 2012. Finance issued a LMIHF DDR determination letter on November 9, 2012. Subsequently, the Agency requested a Meet and Confer session on one or more items adjusted by Finance. The Meet and Confer Session was held on December 5, 2012.

Based on a review of additional or clarifying information provided to Finance during the Meet and Confer process, Finance is revising some of the adjustments made in our previous DDR determination letter. Specifically, we are revising the following adjustments:

Assets transferred in the amount of \$1,371,751. The Agency did not agree with Finance's adjustment for the cash and investments transferred to the housing successor. The Agency claims that this money is needed for housing obligations and monitoring costs. The projected costs of housing obligations include litigation costs and home values on defaulted loans. However, due to lengthy litigation process and unpredictable outcomes, the Agency cannot predict the total cost for the July through December 2012 and January through June 2013 Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) periods. Furthermore, a portion of the estimated costs is for the administration of low and moderate income ownership units. Pursuant to HSC section 34176 (a) (1), if a city or county elects to retain the authority to perform housing functions previously performed by a redevelopment agency, all rights, powers, duties, obligations, and housing assets shall be transferred to that city or county. After the meet and confer process, the Agency was able to provide information concerning one of the property settlements in the amount of \$235,000. Finance is allowing the Agency to retain \$235,000 for that obligation. The balance is being adjusted accordingly; the request to retain \$1,136,751 is disallowed.

The Agency's LMIHF balance available for distribution to the affected taxing entities has been revised to \$1,136,751 (see table below).

<b>LMIHF Balances Available For Distribution To Taxing Entities</b>	
Available Balance per DDR:	\$ -
Finance Adjustments	
Add: Disallowed transfers	\$ 1,136,751
<b>Total LMIHF available to be distributed:</b>	<b>\$ 1,136,751</b>

This is Finance's final determination of the LMIHF balances available for distribution to the taxing entities. HSC section 34179.6 (f) requires successor agencies to transmit to the county auditor-controller the amount of funds identified in the above table within five working days, plus any interest those sums accumulated while in the possession of the recipient.

If funds identified for transmission are in the possession of the successor agency, and if the successor agency is operated by the city or county that created the former redevelopment agency, then failure to transmit the identified funds may result in offsets to the city's or the county's sales and use tax allocation, as well as its property tax allocation. If funds identified for transmission are in the possession of another taxing entity, the successor agency is required to take diligent efforts to recover such funds. A failure to recover and remit those funds may result in offsets to the other taxing entity's sales and use tax allocation or to its property tax allocation. If funds identified for transmission are in the possession of a private entity, HSC 34179.6 (h) (1) (B) states that any remittance related to unallowable transfers to a private party may also be subject to a 10 percent penalty if not remitted within 60 days.

Failure to transmit the identified funds will also prevent the Agency from being able to receive a finding of completion from Finance. Without a finding of completion, the Agency will be unable to take advantage of the provisions detailed in HSC section 34191.4. Specifically, these provisions allow certain loan agreements between the former redevelopment agency (RDA) and the city, county, or city and county that created the RDA to be considered enforceable obligations. These provisions also allow certain bond proceeds to be used for the purposes in which they were sold and allows for the transfer of real property and interests into the Community Redevelopment Property Trust Fund once Finance approves the Agency's long-range property management plan.

In addition to the consequences above, willful failure to return assets that were deemed an unallowable transfer or failure to remit the funds identified above could expose certain individuals to criminal penalties under existing law.

Pursuant to HSC section 34167.5 and 34178.8, the California State Controller's Office (Controller) has the authority to claw back assets that were inappropriately transferred to the city, county, or any other public agency. Determinations outlined in this letter and Finance's Housing Assets Transfer letter dated August 27, 2012 do not in any way eliminate the Controller's authority.

Ms. Stephanie Lovette  
December 15, 2012  
Page 3

Please direct inquiries to Beliz Chappuie, Supervisor or Mindy Patterson, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



STEVE SZALAY  
Local Government Consultant

cc: Ms. Patti Brennan, Administrative Assistant, City of San Rafael  
Mr. Roy Given, Director of Finance, County of Marin Auditor Controller  
California State Controller's Office