



REVISED

December 10, 2012

Mr. Mary Lee Sharer, Finance Manager
One Main Street
Rio Vista, CA 94571

Dear Ms. Sharer:

Subject: Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund Due Diligence Review

This letter supersedes Finance's original LMIHF DDR determination letter dated November 9, 2012 in order to correct a clerical error identified by the strikethrough below. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34179.6 (c), the Rio Vista Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an oversight board approved Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund Due Diligence Review (DDR) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on October 10, 2012. The purpose of the review was to determine the amount of cash and cash equivalents available for distribution to the affected taxing entities.

HSC section 34179.6 (d) authorizes Finance to adjust the DDR's stated balance of Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund (LMIHF) available for distribution to the taxing entities. Based on our review of your DDR, the following adjustment was made:

- The ~~Water/Sewer-Airport Project Loan~~ Inter-fund Advance to the City's Sewer Enterprise Fund of \$164,011 has not been approved for LMIHF funding on any Recognized Obligation Schedule. Therefore, this amount must be remitted to the county for disbursement to the taxing entities. In addition, HSC section 34171 (d) (2) states that agreements, contracts, or arrangements between the city that created the redevelopment agency (RDA) and the former RDA are not enforceable unless the loan agreements were entered into within the first two years of the date of the creation of the RDA. Therefore, this item is not an enforceable obligation, regardless of the funding source.

If you disagree with Finance's adjusted amount of LMIHF balances available for distribution to the taxing entities, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/

The Agency's LMIHF balance available for distribution to the affected taxing entities is \$919,943 (see table below). Pursuant to HSC 34179.6 (h) (1) (B), any remittance related to unallowable transfers to a private party may also be subject to a 10 percent penalty if not remitted within 60 days.

LMIHF Balances Available For Distribution To Taxing Entities		
Available Balance per DDR:	\$	755,932
Retained balance not supported:		164,011
Total LMIHF available to be distributed:	\$	919,943

Absent a Meet and Confer request, HSC section 34179.6 (f) requires successor agencies to transmit to the county auditor-controller the amount of funds identified in the above table within five working days, plus any interest those sums accumulated while in the possession of the recipient.

If funds identified for transmission are in the possession of the successor agency, and if the successor agency is operated by the city or county that created the former redevelopment agency, then failure to transmit the identified funds may result in offsets to the city's or the county's sales and use tax allocation, as well as its property tax allocation. If funds identified for transmission are in the possession of another taxing entity, that taxing entity's failure to remit those funds may result in offsets to its sales and use tax allocation or to its property tax allocation.

Failure to transmit the identified funds will also prevent the Agency from being able to receive a finding of completion from Finance. Without a finding of completion, the Agency will be unable to take advantage of the provisions detailed in HSC section 34191.4. Specifically, these provisions allow certain loan agreements between the former redevelopment agency (RDA) and the city, county, or city and county that created the RDA to be considered enforceable obligations. These provisions also allow certain bond proceeds to be used for the purposes in which they were sold and allows for the transfer of real property and interests into the Community Redevelopment Property Trust Fund once Finance approves the Agency's long-range property management plan.

In addition to the consequences above, willful failure to return assets that were deemed an unallowable transfer or failure to remit the funds identified above could expose certain individuals to criminal penalties under existing law.

Pursuant to HSC section 34167.5 and 34178.8, the California State Controller's Office (Controller) has the authority to claw back assets that were inappropriately transferred to the city, county, or any other public agency. Determinations outlined in this letter do not in any way eliminate the Controller's authority.

Please direct inquiries to Zachary Stacy, Manager or Jenny DeAngelis, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



STEVE SZALAY
Local Government Consultant

cc: on the following page

Ms. Mary Lee Sharer
December 10, 2012
Page 3

cc: Mr. Joe Tanner, Interim City Manager, City of Rio Vista
Ms. Clarissa Domen, Accountant, City of Rio Vista
Ms. Simona Padilla-Scholtens, CPA, Auditor-Controller, Solano County
Ms. Jun Adeva, Deputy Auditor Controller, Solano County
California State Controller's Office