



April 26, 2013

Ms. Elizabeth Hudson, Finance Director
Town of Danville
510 La Gonda Way
Danville CA 94526

Dear Ms. Hudson:

Subject: Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund Due Diligence Review

This letter supersedes Finance's original Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund (LMIHF) Due Diligence Review (DDR) determination letter dated March 22, 2013. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34179.6 (c), the Town of Danville Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an oversight board approved LMIHF DDR to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on February 28, 2013. Finance issued a LMIHF DDR determination letter on March 22, 2013. Subsequently, the Agency requested a Meet and Confer session on one or more items adjusted by Finance. The Meet and Confer Session was held on April 22, 2013.

Based on a review of additional or clarifying information provided to Finance during the Meet and Confer process, Finance is revising the adjustments made in our previous LMIHF DDR determination letter. Specifically, we are revising the following adjustments.

The Agency used LMIHF funds to assist in the purchase of land for non-housing purposes, and the transfer to the Town of Danville (Town) occurred after June 27, 2011. During the Meet and Confer process, the Agency submitted additional information and documents to support the transfer to the Town as proper and valid.

A review of the documents proved the Agency was contractually obligated with a third party prior to June 27, 2011, and the property acquired was zoned for mixed-use development. Therefore, Finance no longer objects to the transfer in the amount of \$135,000.

The Agency's LMIHF balance available for distribution to the affected taxing entities has been revised to \$11,816, as reported in DDR.

This is Finance's final determination of the LMIHF balances available for distribution to the taxing entities. HSC section 34179.6 (f) requires successor agencies to transmit to the county auditor-controller the amount of funds identified in the above table within five working days, plus any interest those sums accumulated while in the possession of the recipient.

If funds identified for transmission are in the possession of the successor agency, and if the successor agency is operated by the city or county that created the former redevelopment agency, then failure to transmit the identified funds may result in offsets to the city's or the

county's sales and use tax allocation, as well as its property tax allocation. If funds identified for transmission are in the possession of another taxing entity, the successor agency is required to take diligent efforts to recover such funds. A failure to recover and remit those funds may result in offsets to the other taxing entity's sales and use tax allocation or to its property tax allocation. If funds identified for transmission are in the possession of a private entity, HSC 34179.6 (h) (1) (B) states that any remittance related to unallowable transfers to a private party may also be subject to a 10 percent penalty if not remitted within 60 days.

Failure to transmit the identified funds will also prevent the Agency from being able to receive a finding of completion from Finance. Without a finding of completion, the Agency will be unable to take advantage of the provisions detailed in HSC section 34191.4. Specifically, these provisions allow certain loan agreements between the former redevelopment agency (RDA) and the city, county, or city and county that created the RDA to be considered enforceable obligations. These provisions also allow certain bond proceeds to be used for the purposes in which they were sold and allows for the transfer of real property and interests into the Community Redevelopment Property Trust Fund once Finance approves the Agency's long-range property management plan.

In addition to the consequences above, willful failure to return assets that were deemed an unallowable transfer or failure to remit the funds identified above could expose certain individuals to criminal penalties under existing law.

Pursuant to HSC section 34167.5 and 34178.8, the California State Controller's Office (Controller) has the authority to claw back assets that were inappropriately transferred to the city, county, or any other public agency. Determinations outlined in this letter and Finance's Housing Assets Transfer letter dated September 5, 2012 do not in any way eliminate the Controller's authority.

Please direct inquiries to Nichelle Thomas, Supervisor or Susana Medina Jackson, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



STEVE SZALAY
Local Government Consultant

cc: Mr. Robert B. Ewing, City Attorney, Town of Danville
Mr. Bob Campbell, Auditor Controller, Contra Costa County
California State Controller's office