

915 L Street ■ Sacramento CA ■ 95814-3706 ■ www.dof.ca.gov

Transmitted via e-mail

March 29, 2021

Bryce Atkins, Administrative Services Director City of Shafter 336 Pacific Avenue Shafter, CA 93263

2021-22 Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (o) (1), the City of Shafter Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022 (ROPS 21-22) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on January 29, 2021. Finance has completed its review of the ROPS 21-22.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determination:

- Item No. 4 Loan Agreement in the total outstanding amount of \$12,700,067 is overstated. Pursuant to HSC section 34191.4 (b) (3), interest on the remaining outstanding principal amount of the loan shall be recalculated from the date of origination of the loan on a quarterly basis, at a simple interest rate of three percent, and repayments shall be applied first to principal, and second to interest.
 - The total outstanding loan balance includes miscalculated interest as well as repayments applied to interest prior to principal. After recalculating interest and adjusting applied payments, the total outstanding loan balance reported on the Agency's ROPS Detail Form has been reduced by \$11,430,001 to \$1,270,066. However, since the amount of \$7,196 in Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) funding requested for the fiscal year does not exceed the repayment formula outlined in HSC section 34191.4 (b) (3) (A), Finance is approving the requested amount.
- On the ROPS 18-19 form, the Agency reported cash balances and activity for the period July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019 (ROPS 18-19). According to our review, the Agency has approximately \$23,612 from Other Funds available to fund enforceable obligations on the ROPS 21-22. HSC section 34177 (I) (1) (E) requires these balances to be used prior to requesting RPTTF funding. This item does not require payment from property tax revenues; therefore, with the Agency's concurrence, the funding source for the following item has been reclassified in the amount specified below:
 - Item No. 10 Property Tax Increment Allocation Bonds in the amount of \$909,725 is partially reclassified. Finance is approving RPTTF in the amount of \$886,113 and the use of Other Funds in the amount of \$23,612, totaling \$909,725.

• The administrative costs claimed are within the fiscal year administrative cap pursuant to HSC section 34171 (b) (3). However, Finance notes the Oversight Board (OB) has approved an amount that appears excessive, given the number and nature of the obligations listed on the ROPS. HSC section 34179 (i) requires the OB to exercise a fiduciary duty to the taxing entities. Finance encourages the OB to apply adequate oversight when evaluating the administrative resources necessary to successfully wind-down the Agency.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186, successor agencies are required to report differences between actual payments and past estimated obligations (prior period adjustments) for the July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019 (ROPS 18-19) period. The ROPS 18-19 prior period adjustment (PPA) will offset the ROPS 21-22 Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) distribution. The County Auditor-Controller's review of the PPA form submitted by the Agency resulted in no PPA.

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$1,143,309, as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table (see Attachment).

RPTTF distributions occur biannually, one distribution for the July 1, 2021 through December 31, 2021 period (ROPS A period), and one distribution for the January 1, 2022 through June 30, 2022 period (ROPS B period), based on Finance's approved amounts. Since this determination is for the entire ROPS 21-22 period, the Agency is authorized to receive up to the maximum approved RPTTF through the combined ROPS A and B period distributions.

Except for the adjusted item, Finance does not object to the remaining items listed on the ROPS 21-22. If the Agency disagrees with our determination with respect to any items on the ROPS 21-22, except items which are the subject of litigation disputing our previous or related determinations, the Agency may request a Meet and Confer within five business days from the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available on our website:

http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/Meet_And_Confer/

The Agency must use the RAD App to complete and submit its Meet and Confer request form.

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is our final determination regarding the obligations listed on the ROPS 21-22. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the 12-month period. If a determination by Finance in a previous ROPS is currently the subject of litigation, the item will continue to reflect the determination until the matter is resolved.

The ROPS 21-22 form submitted by the Agency and this determination letter will be posted on our website:

http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/ROPS/

Bryce Atkins March 29, 2021 Page 3

This determination is effective for the ROPS 21-22 period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to Finance's review and may be adjusted even if not adjusted on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of the redevelopment dissolution law. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax increment is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Kylie Oltmann, Supervisor, or Lynel Ford, Staff, at (916) 322-2985.

Sincerely,

Original signed by Cheryl L. McCormick for:

JENNIFER WHITAKER
Program Budget Manager

cc: Mary Bedard, Auditor-Controller, Kern County

Attachment

Approved RPTTF Distribution July 2021 through June 2022				
		ROPS A	ROPS B	Total
RPTTF Requested	\$	715,340 \$	201,581	\$ 916,921
Administrative RPTTF Requested		125,000	125,000	250,000
Total RPTTF Requested		840,340	326,581	1,166,921
RPTTF Requested		715,340	201,581	916,921
Adjustment(s)				
Item No. 10		0	(23,612)	(23,612)
RPTTF Authorized		715,340	177,969	893,309
Administrative RPTTF Authorized		125,000	125,000	250,000
Total RPTTF Approved for Distribution	\$	840,340 \$	302,969	\$ 1,143,309