



Transmitted via e-mail

March 26, 2021

Teresa Gallavan, Executive Director
City of Selma
1710 Tucker Street
Selma, CA 93662

2021-22 Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (o) (1), the City of Selma Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022 (ROPS 21-22) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on January 22, 2021. Finance has completed its review of the ROPS 21-22.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determinations:

- Item No. 1 – 2010A Tax Allocation Bond. The Agency inadvertently requested incorrect amounts from Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) for the July 1, 2021 through December 31, 2021 period (ROPS A period) and January 1, 2022 through June 30, 2022 period (ROPS B period). According to the debt service schedule, the correct estimated payment for ROPS 21-22 should be \$403,312. Therefore, to accurately reflect the correct debt service payment, Finance made the following adjustments:

Funding Source	Requested	Adjustment	Authorized
RPTTF (A Period)	\$41,400	\$330,000	\$371,400
RPTTF (B Period)	\$41,400	(\$9,488)	\$31,912
Total	\$82,800	\$320,512	\$403,312

- Item No. 3 – 1994 Housing Bond. The Agency inadvertently requested incorrect amounts from RPTTF for the ROPS A and ROPS B periods. According to the debt service schedule, the correct estimated payment for ROPS 21-22 should be \$71,775. Therefore, to accurately reflect the correct debt service payment, Finance made the following adjustments:

Funding Source	Requested	Adjustment	Authorized
RPTTF (A Period)	\$65,850	(\$950)	\$64,900
RPTTF (B Period)	\$4,050	\$2,825	\$6,875
Total	\$69,900	\$1,875	\$71,775

Pursuant to HSC section 34186, successor agencies are required to report differences between actual payments and past estimated obligations (prior period adjustments) for the July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019 (ROPS 18-19) period. The ROPS 18-19 prior period adjustment (PPA) will offset the ROPS 21-22 RPTTF distribution. The County Auditor-Controller's review of the prior period adjustment form submitted by the Agency resulted in no prior period adjustment.

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$823,776, as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table (see Attachment).

RPTTF distributions occur biannually, one distribution for the ROPS A period, and one distribution for the ROPS B period, based on Finance's approved amounts. Since this determination is for the entire ROPS 21-22 period, the Agency is authorized to receive up to the maximum approved RPTTF through the combined ROPS A and B period distributions.

Except for the adjusted items, Finance does not object to the remaining items listed on the ROPS 21-22. If the Agency disagrees with our determination with respect to any items on the ROPS 21-22, except items which are the subject of litigation disputing our previous or related determinations, the Agency may request a Meet and Confer within five business days from the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available on our website:

http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/Meet_And_Confer/

The Agency must use the RAD App to complete and submit its Meet and Confer request form.

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is our final determination regarding the obligations listed on the ROPS 21-22. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the 12-month period. If a determination by Finance in a previous ROPS is currently the subject of litigation, the item will continue to reflect the determination until the matter is resolved.

The ROPS 21-22 form submitted by the Agency and this determination letter will be posted on our website:

<http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/ROPS/>

This determination is effective for the ROPS 21-22 period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to Finance's review and may be adjusted even if not adjusted on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of the redevelopment dissolution law. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax increment is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Anna Kyumba, Supervisor, or Rachel Lynch, Staff, at (916) 322-2985.

Sincerely,

Original signed by Cheryl L. McCormick for:

JENNIFER WHITAKER
Program Budget Manager

cc: Hilda Montoy, Agency Counsel, City of Selma
Mario Cabrera, Accounting and Financial Manager, Fresno County

Attachment

Approved RPTTF Distribution July 2021 through June 2022			
	ROPS A	ROPS B	Total
RPTTF Requested	\$ 248,375	\$ 109,700	\$ 358,075
Administrative RPTTF Requested	71,657	71,657	143,314
Total RPTTF Requested	320,032	181,357	501,389
RPTTF Requested	248,375	109,700	358,075
<u>Adjustment(s)</u>			
Item No. 1	330,000	(9,488)	320,512
Item No. 3	(950)	2,825	1,875
	329,050	(6,663)	322,387
RPTTF Authorized	577,425	103,037	680,462
Administrative RPTTF Authorized	71,657	71,657	143,314
Total RPTTF Approved for Distribution	\$ 649,082	\$ 174,694	\$ 823,776